Metal–organic frameworks and their derivatives for electrically-transduced gas sensors
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Abstract
Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) and the MOF derived materials are porous solid materials with broad application prospect. As for electrically-transduced gas sensors, the application barrier of the signal transducer has been overcome by development in fields of conductive MOFs, the MOF based composites, dynamic MOFs, and/or the MOF derived materials. Currently, it is moving towards a critical development stage from usability to ease of use, of which great challenge remains unsolved. To address this issue, we give our perspective on the basic principles, material design, sensor performances, and worthwhile directions based on recently published works, focusing on five primary types of electrically-transduced gas sensors (chemiresistors, field-effect transistors (FETs), electrochemical gas sensors, chemical diodes, and chemicapacitors).

© 2020 Published by Elsevier B.V.
1. Introduction

Sensing is the art of seeing things invisible [1]. Because of simplicity and compatibility with standard electronic technologies, electrically-transduced gas sensors help human beings collect chemical or physical information on gas analytes, and produce signals that can be efficiently acquired, processed, stored, and analyzed [2–8]. To realize next-generation transformation in electrically-transduced gas sensors, conductive/capacitive materials with ultra-sensitivity toward physical and chemical stimuli generated by gaseous analytes, as well as feasible techniques for their integration into sensing devices, are urgently needed to be developed.

Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs), also well-known porous coordination polymers (PCPs), are crystalline polymeric microporous inorganic–organic hybrid materials composed of inorganic nodes bridged by organic ligands [9–13]. Since the successful preparation of MOFs/PCPs with permeant porosity reported in the late 1990s [14,15], they have been utilized and studied for a wide range of potential applications, such as gas storage and separation [16–20], chemical sensors [21–28], catalysis [29–31], and drug release [32], owing to the ultra-high porosity and framework tunability. Especially, the ultra-high surface areas, tunable electronic structures, and gas adsorption/separation capability of conductivity or permittivity (dielectric constant) sensitive MOFs and the MOF based composites are well matched with requirements for new generation electrically-transduced gas sensors mentioned above. In the early stage of MOFs based chemical gas sensors, optical and mass transducer sensors are the emphasis of research in this area [33–36]. The electrically-transduced gas sensors have received little attention until recently, with the boosting developments in fields of ion/proton conductive MOFs (IC/PC-MOFs) [37–40], electronically conductive MOFs (electrically conductive MOFs, EC-MOFs) [39,41–44], the MOF based composites [45–50], as well as dynamic states and more fundamental understanding of MOFs [20,51–54]. Although such an area is rather new, great achievements in both material design and device integration have been gained, revealing the great potential of MOFs applied to high performance electrically-transduced gas sensors [35–58].

Alternatively, MOFs are also ideal precursor materials, and high–performance MOF derived sensing materials such as metals, metal compounds, carbon materials, or their composites, have also been extensively studied [59–63]. These MOF-derived materials exhibited remarkable advantages toward application in electrically-transduced gas sensors owing to their high porosity and chemical tunability originating from MOF precursors [64,65].

Researches on MOFs and their derivatives based electrically-transduced gas sensors are now entering a critical development stage from usability to ease of use, while great challenges of materials design and device integration remain unsolved. This calls for a comprehensive overview and outlook based on the latest related literature. Despite a number of reviews on sensing devices (e.g. MOFs chemical sensors [5,34,66], MOFs for chemiresistive gas sensors [2,3,67]), and active materials (e.g. conductive MOFs [37,42,55]), a review on MOFs and the MOF derived materials based electrically-transduced gas sensors is not yet reported. In this review, firstly, we describe the basic sensing principles of electrically-transduced gas sensors. Then, with respect to the materials design and sensing performances, the progress on MOFs and the MOF derived materials based chemiresistors, field-effect transistors (FETs), electrochemical gas sensors, chemical diodes and chemical capacitor gas sensors are reviewed based on the available literature. Finally, we summarize main achievements and propose an encouraging outlook with worthwhile directions that remain to be pursued in terms of both materials design and device integration.

2. Basic sensing principles of electrically-transduced gas sensors

As mentioned in aforementioned part, the significance of the MOF and the MOF derived materials has boosted the development of plenty of different approaches for applying them as electrically-transduced gas sensors. The electrically-transduced gas sensors based on MOF and MOF derived materials are classified into five categories (Fig. 1) and are discussed in this section with representative examples.

2.1. Definition

According to the recommendations of International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC), the definition of MOF materials is a coordination network (framework) with organic ligands containing potential voids [68]. Typically, MOF can be described by the crystalline framework constructed by the coordination of functional organic ligands with metal sites (complex metal sites also called as second building units, SBUs). The topology, channel shape, functionality of ligands/metal sites, coordination bonds can be rationally designed, and thus tuning the gas diffusion/adsorption capability, catalytic ability, and electrical properties of

![Fig. 1. The category of electrically-transduced gas sensors.](Image)
the final MOF (the right part of Fig. 2a). Based on this, the controlled transformation conditions can further modulate the composition, phase, porosity and electrical properties of MOF derived materials, such as carbon materials (e.g. amorphous carbon, carbon nanotubes (CNTs), graphene (G)), metal compounds (e.g. metal oxides (MOxs), metal sulfides (MSs)), composites (e.g. metal/carbon, MOx/carbon, MS/carbon, noble metal (NM)/MOx), and so on (the left part of Fig. 2a).

Different from gas sensors with optical or mass transducer, electrically-transduced gas sensors normally required semiconductive/conductive ability or other sensitive electrical properties of the active materials [2,8]. Limited by the insulating nature of most developed MOFs, they usually need to mix with a second material, named the MOF based composites (Fig. 2b), to combine advantages of both to meet the requirements of practical applications. Such composites include but not limited to MOF-carbon, MOF-metal electrode, MOF-polymer, MOF-ionic liquids (ILs), MOF-MOx, and MOF-on-MOFs.

The “Cambridge definition” of chemical sensors is copied as follows: Chemical sensors are miniaturised devices that can deliver real time and on-line information on the presence of specific compounds or ions in even complex samples [69,70]. Generally, a chemical sensor consists of both a chemical recognition element and a transducing element, which are able to combined to detect the chemical environmental changes and transform them to a readable signal [2,71]. Since this review focuses on gas detection and the electrical transducer, sensors for non-gaseous detection, as well as those based on optical/mass transducers will be excluded.

2.2. Basic sensing principles

2.2.1. Basic components of electrically-transduced gas sensors

As shown in Fig. 2c, basic components of electrically-transduced gas sensors include sensing layer, electrical transduction, signal transformation, the data process and result output. The sensing layer incorporates three types: the powder pellet, the thin/thick film, and the single crystal.

In the recognition process, the sensing layer composed of active materials or their composites interacts with gaseous analytes, resulting in electrical parameter changes of the sensing material. The electrical parameters include electrical conductivity ($\sigma$)/resistivity ($\rho$), the permittivity ($\varepsilon$), the dielectric constant, and the work function ($\phi$), which are then converted by the electrical transducer into a measurable electrical signal, including the current ($I$), the voltage ($V$), electrical potential ($E$), resistance ($R$)/impedance ($Z$), or capacitance ($C$). These signals subsequently undergo data processing, including amplification, filtering, principle component analysis (PCA), and linear discriminant analysis (LDA), etc. After this, the output results, containing information about the identity of the analytes as well as their concentration, can be displayed on a specific screen (wired or wireless connection), saved as files and exchanged with the analysis center (if available) [72].

2.2.1.1. Sensing layers. Electrical properties of the sensing layers strongly rely on the composition and architecture of active materials and their composites, since they are directly connected with all three gas sensing processes: the gas diffusion/molecule capture unit, the surface/bulk reaction unit (the material-analyte interactions), and the transport of electrical parameter change unit.

For the gas diffusion/molecule capture unit, the sensing layers are required to possess diffusion-facilitated pathways and high accessible surface areas. Gas diffusion in macro-, meso- and micro-pores remarkably differ from each other [73–78]. In practical cases, pore distributions are more complicated because many of them cover pores ranging from micro-pores to macro-pores. Considering the capability of the mixed pore networks to modulate the channel traffic effects, the gas diffusion inside the pores of the sensing layers can be sensitively and selectively controlled.

For the surface/bulk reaction unit (the material-analyte interactions), abundant active sites of active materials for interactions...
with gas molecules are expected, which require not only high surface areas but also high-density active sites on exposed surface areas. The additional catalytic effects brought by doping or catalyst loading can further enhance the efficiency of the sensing reaction.

In terms of the transport of the electrical parameter change unit, the high-quality connected network of the active materials is required. In this respect, the high-quality single crystal seems to be the best choice. However, slow mass transport resulting from the kinetic issues of gas diffusion in pores of the porous single crystal, or small surface areas of the non- porous single crystal should be considered, both of which will offset benefits or even degrade the whole sensing performances.

Therefore, in practical cases, researchers need to consider the overall effects of positive and negative factors. Owing to a balance on all sensing processes, high-quality thin films constructed by well interconnected nanocrystals with the thickness in nanometer scale normally show overall optimal effects.

2.2.1.2. Electrical transducers. Electrical transducers convert sensing changes into electrical signals by integrating sensing active materials with electrodes that can be either two-/three-/four-probes or interdigital electrodes (IDEs) by conductive paste coating, sputtering, thermal evaporation or photolithography to form electrical devices. Normally insulating substrates like polycrystalline Al2O3, Si/SiO2, glass, sapphire, and polymers will be utilized. In some specific cases (e.g. chemical diodes), conducting or semiconducting substrates will be adopted. More details will be discussed with reported works in the following sections.

The electrical parameters sensitively affected by gaseous analytes include electrical conductivity (σ)/resistivity (ρ), the work function (φ), and permittivity (ε, the dielectric constant). For better understanding of them, basic definitions are briefly provided as follows.

The electrical conductivity (σ)/resistivity (ρ) can be divided into two sorts, namely electronic conductivity (EC) and ionic conductivity (IC). For electronic conductivity, both the charge carrier mobility (μ) and density (n) of electrons (e) and holes (h) contribute to its value. Thus, modulation of either density of the carriers and mobility (band transport or hopping transport) by interactions with gas analytes can result in the changes in electronic conductivity value.

For semiconductors and insulators, the Fermi level lies between conduction band (E_C) and valence band (E_V). In pristine semiconductors, the Fermi level located in the center of the band gap (E_g), while doping or defects usually shifts the Fermi level up-/down to either the conduction band or the valence band to form n-type or p-type semiconductors (Fig. 3). The activation energy (E_a) is defined by the energy difference between the Fermi level and the valence band (E_V) or the conduction band (E_C).

The work function (WF) can be changed by the bias potential applied to the material (Fig. 3) [79]. Considering externally applied potentials (V), it can be calculated by Eq. (1), from which one can comprehend phenomena like Schottky barriers and band-bending [80].

$$\phi = V - W_f / e$$

where φ is the electrostatic potential.

The permittivity (ε, the dielectric constant) of a material, directly related to the vacuum permittivity ($\varepsilon_0 \approx 8.854187817 \times 10^{-12} \text{ F m}^{-1}$) and the relative permittivity ($\varepsilon_r$), is a measure of the electric polarizability of a dielectric, that is, how easily electromagnetic radiation can travel through it [81].

The interaction of the sensing material with gaseous analytes may induce changes in one or more aforementioned electrical parameters. Depending on the types of electrical devices, one of the electrical parameter changes will be transduced into a readable electrical signal and sent to the data processing component.

2.2.1.3. Data processing. Despite the direct use of raw electrical signals in some commercial electrically-transduced gas sensors for simple alarming or detection, further treatment of readout signals (data processing) is increasingly common in recent researches. It is a good method to analyze and improve the sensing performances of gas sensors without changing their components or architecture. The algorithm used for data processing can vary from simple filtering/amplifying to Statistical methods (e.g., Principal component analysis (PCA), Linear discriminant analysis (LDA), hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA), principal component regression (PCR), discriminant factor analysis (DFA), and clustering algorithms) and AI techniques (e.g., artificial neural networks (ANN), multi-layer perceptrons (MLP), radial basis function networks (RBF), self-organizing maps (SOM), and learning vector quantization (LVQ)).

Moreover, the data processing will deal with readout signals from the single device, electronic nose (E-nose), or grouped sensor arrays in line with the application scenario.

2.2.2. Basic sensing performance parameters

The most important aspect of investigation of a variety of sensors is 4 ‘S’, i.e. sensitivity (response), selectivity, speed (response/recovery time) and stability. In addition, some commonly used sensing parameters, including the limit of detection (LOD), reproducibility, repeatability, etc., will also be discussed in this section. Details of small differences in specific parameters will be discussed in Section 2.2.4.

The response is defined as the value obtained by sensor electrically-transduced signal changes in detected gas and purging gas (Δ (saturated signal change in gaseous analytes) ([signal baseline in purging gas]))). For example, the response of an n-type chemiresistor toward NH3 in air can be obtained by Eq. (2) (Fig. 4).

Response = ΔI/I_{air} = (V/R_{air} - V/R_{gas})/(V/R_{air}) = R_{air}/R_{gas} − 1 (2)

where I is current, V is the DC voltage, R is resistance.

The sensitivity is defined as the ratio of response and concentration (response/concentration). However, sensitivity and response
are not fully distinguished, which sometimes have the identical meaning in a few works.

The response time \( (t_{\text{res}}) \) and recovery time \( (t_{\text{rec}}) \) are commonly defined as the time required for the electrically-transduced signals of the sensor to change to 90\%, sometimes reduced to 80\%, of the saturation/baseline value after exposure to the target/purging gas. If the continuous and significant drifting baseline or recovery is far lower than 80–90\%, it will be classified as unrecoverable devices because of their failure to meet the repeatability and long-term stability of sensors in practical applications.

The long-term stability is a key factor to indicate how reliable the sensor is. Researchers usually describe the remaining percentage of sensing performances after a certain time. The long-term stability of a sensor also provides information about the optimal time required for aging process and performance reduction calibration.

The repeatability of a sensor is used to evaluate the signal change upon exposure to a certain concentration of the gaseous analyte. The reproducibility is evaluated by different devices fabricated from different batches. The coefficient of variation (CV) can be employed to represent either the repeatability of responses or the reproducibility of sensors, which is defined as

\[
CV = \frac{SD}{R_{\text{average}}} \times 100\%
\]

where \( SD \) refers to the standard deviation (SD), and \( R_{\text{average}} \) is average value of responses.

The limit of detection (LOD) of the sensors can be obtained by two methods, the IUPAC standard method and simple 10\% response method.

According to IUPAC, LOD is acquired when the signal is over three times of the noise level in the system. The root-mean-square (RMS) deviation at the baseline and the slope of the response curve have been utilized to calculate the noise level and theoretical LOD of a sensor [82–84].

The simple 10\% response method requires the linear relationship of response vs. concentration. A class of sensors show linear relationship of response vs. concentration, while the other class of sensors present that of log–log plots of response vs. concentration [details seen in Section 2.2.4]. Based on the linear fitting line, the theoretical LOD is calculated to be the concentration with the response of 10\% in line with the empirical assumption that \( 3RMS_{\text{noise}} \) is normally lower than 10\%. The LOD value obtained by this method is equal to or larger than that obtained by the IUPAC method, depending on the real value of \( 3RMS_{\text{noise}} \) for the specific devices.

2.2.3. Basic sensing mechanisms

Although the principles of most electrically-transduced gas sensors are differing from each other, they share three similar basic mechanisms, that is, the gas diffusion before contacting, the material-analyte interactions and electrical transduction and transformation. It covers basic components of the sensing layer, electrical transducer and signal transformation mentioned in Section 2.2.1.

The gas diffusion in porous solid-phase has been discussed in Section 2.2.1. Since electrochemical sensors using liquid or solid electrolytes are not involved in the state-of-the-art MOF and MOF derived materials based electrochemical sensors, the corresponding gas diffusion will not be discussed in this review.

The interactions of gaseous analytes with sensing materials can be divided into physical and chemical interactions, in accordance with the value of the binding energy. The reversible requirements of gas sensors clearly suggest that too strong materials-analyte interactions should be avoided. Normally, the materials-analyte interactions cover van der Waals forces, hydrogen bonding, coordin-
tion of electrons from CB of n-type semiconductor with holes from VB of p-type semiconductor will form the depletion layers in the contacting area. Normally, the proper design of the composition and structure of heterojunctions can help such barriers or depletion layers facilitate additional oxygen adsorption and thus enhance the sensing properties.

For non-ohmic contact devices (e.g. chemical diodes, chemi-capacitors), the interfaces between the sensing layer and metal electrodes are also pivotal in determining the sensing performances. In cases of metal–semiconductor junctions (e.g. chemical diodes), Fig. 7 shows the energy diagram of metal electrodes and n-type semiconductors before and after contact, of which the work function \( \phi_m \) is smaller than that of the metal \( \phi_m \).

In case of electrical contact of the metal and the semiconductor, the two Fermi levels are forced to coincide with electrons passing from the semiconductor into the metal \( \phi_m > \phi_s \). Besides, the corresponding potential barrier height is also well-known as Schottky barrier height \( \phi_b \). According to the Mott-Schottky model, for an ideal contact between a metal and an n-type semiconductor, the height of the barrier \( \phi_b \) measured relative to the Fermi level is given by Eq. (4).

\[
\phi_b = \phi_m - \chi_s
\]  

(4)

where \( \chi_s \) refers to the electron affinity of the semiconductor, defined as the difference in energy between an electron at rest outside the surface and that at the bottom of the conduction band just inside the surface.

Fig. 8 shows the other three types of metal–semiconductor contact. In the case of \( \phi_m < \phi_s \) or \( \phi_m > \phi_s \) the n- or p-type semiconductor, the contact is ohmic, counteracting no barriers. In the case of \( \phi_m < \phi_s \) for p-type semiconductor, holes transport from the semiconductor to the metal, resulting in the depletion layer in the semiconductor. The Schottky barrier height \( \phi_b \) of p-type semiconductor is given by

\[
\phi_b = \frac{E_g}{C_0} - \frac{\phi_m - \chi_s}{C_1}
\]  

(5)

When the metal–semiconductor junction is exposed to reducing (or oxidizing) gas, the Schottky barrier height \( \phi_b \) can be lowered in consideration of the charge state change at the interfaces of metal electrode and n-type (or p-type) semiconductor, otherwise contrary behaviors will be observed.

As a secondary effect, the metal–semiconductor junctions can also be applied to host sensing materials to improve performances of other electrically-transduced gas sensors. Typical examples are noble metal decorated MOx chemiresistors, MOF derived metal/ CNT chemiresistors, and so on.

Concerning metal–insulator junctions, details of sensing mechanisms can be found for examples of chemicapacitors in Section 2.2.4.

Above basic mechanisms can be applied to pristine materials, second phase doping and heterojunctions of neat MOFs, MOFs based composites and MOF derived materials. For cases involved in lattice doping, additional considerations on doping energy states induced shifts of Fermi levels, changes of carrier density and mobility, and possible catalytic effects should be taken to understand the complicated mechanism.

2.2.4. Typical examples of different types of electrically-transduced gas sensors

2.2.4.1. Chemiresistors. Chemiresistive gas sensors are the simplest and most widely applied devices among various gas sensors due to
its inherently simple in concept (resistance change), low cost, portability, real-time operability and ease of use [4,74,85–88]. Great efforts have been devoted to further improving the sensing performances by studies on both sensing materials and devices. However, their long-term bottlenecks of poor selectivity and high operating temperature are still great challenges posed to researchers.

The first practical chemiresistor, which can be traced back to the early 1960s [89], is a simple semiconductor device containing an active MOx sensing layer with two electrodes. Such research also gives birth to the first commercial sensor producer (Figaro Engineering Inc., Japan), which started mass production and sale of the TGS in 1968. At that time, the name of this type was called as the semiconductor type gas sensor, which is well consistent with the MOx semiconductor sensing materials used in the device. Then the name of chemiresistors started to be used in the late 1970s [90] and was gradually accepted by more and more researchers in 1980s [91,92]. There are two reasons: one is that sensing materials were further extended to conducting/semiconducting polymers, the other is the more accurate matching with the resistance changes of such devices induced by chemical interactions with gaseous analytes (redox reactions). Sometimes, terms of the “chemoresistor” or the “chemi-resistor” will also be adopted [5,93–97].

The architecture of sensing materials with two metal electrodes with/without insulating substrate has kept unchanged so far, while the sensing materials now cover either semi-conductive or metallic sensing materials that show the resistance change upon exposure to the gaseous analyte. Considering the history and current status of gas sensors of this kind, the name of the “chemiresistor” or the “chemiresistive gas sensor” is recommended to be used. However, to better distinguish from other sensors, we will recommend the definition that requires the ohmic contact of sensitive materials and electrodes. In other words, a chemiresistor is an ohmic-contacting resistor with two electrodes, the resistance change of which is dominated by chemical interactions of sensing materials with gaseous analytes. The ohmic contact can be easily confirmed by good linearity of I–V curves under DC bias (Fig. 9). The definition also avoids confusions with other sensors containing two electrodes but showed nonlinear I–V curves under DC bias, such as chemical diodes, proton/ions types (impedance-type electrochemical sensors, proton/ions assisted resistors), which will be introduced in other sections.

For chemiresistors, their output signals are based on different methods: i) measuring the resistance of the device directly; ii) measuring the current under a constant DC bias voltage; iii) measuring the partial voltage on the device in parallel with a constant resis-
tance when the resistance and device is applied a constant DC bias voltage.

The chemiresistive sensing elements can either be the pellet-, film-type or the single crystal-type, which can be seen in Fig. 2. Film-type chemiresistors are most common form for both fundamental research and commercial devices. Besides the simple two-electrodes thin/thick film on plat substrates (Fig. 2c), Fig. 10 shows another two types: the indirect-heated type derived from Figaro-Taguchi type (TGS) sensor [98] and microelectromechanical system (MEMS) type sensor [99]. The indirect-heated type chemiresistor normally composed sensing film, an insulating tube with a pair of metal electrodes, and a Ni–Cr heating wire inserted into the tube. Nano-micro technologies generate the quite small MEMS type in comparison with the indirect-heated chemiresistor.

The complicated sensing mechanisms of chemiresistors may comprise one or more mechanisms, such as catalytic effects [100–108], charge transfer [109–113], charge carrier transport pathway modulation [114–116], manipulation/construction of heterojunctions [117,118], and molecular binding/sieving [119–124]. However, from the fundamental understanding of the resistance change toward gaseous analyte adsorption, we can try using some formula to illustrate a few common issues.

The resistance of the device is mainly determined by the resistance of sensing materials and the electrode-materials contact. For grain-based gas sensors, the depletion layer based on the adsorbed polarization or ionization gas molecules induced the dipole layers allows the formation of the intergranular potential/Schottky barrier (Figs. 5–8 in Section 2.2.3). With aspect to it, two famous material-analyte interaction models based on the MOx chemiresistor that show good consistencies with many semi-conductive chemiresistors have been proposed, namely the *Ionosorption Model* (oxygen ionosorption: molecular (O$_2$/C$_{ads}$) and atomic (O$/C_{ads}$, O$_2$/C$_{ads}$) ions).

![Graphical representation of the differences between chemiresistor and FET devices and corresponding circuit diagrams and typical data readouts.](image)
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**Fig. 11.** Graphical representation of the differences between chemiresistor and FET devices and corresponding circuit diagrams and typical data readouts. Reproduced with permission [131]. Copyright 2016, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.

![Graphical representation of the differences between chemiresistor and FET devices and corresponding circuit diagrams and typical data readouts.](image)

**Fig. 12.** (a) Schematic diagram of a p-type FET with bottom-gate top-contact structure and hole (h$^+$) as charge carriers. (b) The transfer (left) and output (right) curves of FETs operated in a saturation regime ($V_{DS} > V_{GS} - V_T$). Reproduced with permission [132]. Copyright 2017, Elsevier.
and Oxygen-Vacancy Model (reduction–reoxidation mechanism). According to the conductance model limited by the electron transport across the intergranular potential/Schottky barrier [125,126], the response of grain based gas sensors can be explained by using Eq. (6) (for resistance increase) [125,127–129]

$$\text{Response} = \left( \frac{\sigma_{\text{gas}}}{\sigma_{\text{air}}} - 1 \right)/\left( \frac{R_{\text{gas}}}{R_{\text{air}}} - 1 \right) = A_g p_g^b$$

(6)

where $\sigma_{\text{air}}$ refers to the conductance without the gaseous analyte, $p_g$ is the gaseous analyte partial pressure, $A_g$ is a prefactor, and the exponent $b$ is the response order. It can be rewritten as

$$\log \text{Response} = \log\left( \frac{R_{\text{gas}}}{R_{\text{air}}} - 1 \right) = \log A_g + b \log p_g$$

(7)

It is conspicuous that there is a good linear relationship between response and gaseous analyte partial pressure (proportional to the concentration, $c$). By fitting the log–log plots of the response vs. concentration, one can easily estimate the LOD value (Section 2.2.2) and the microstructure. According to the report, when $b$ is equal to 0.5, a fully regular microstructure of the nanoparticles is indicated [128], whereas that value is expected to exceed 0.5 in the case of disordered microstructure. Furthermore, there is a reduction of $b$ below this value because of local agglomeration or zones of the structure [127,130].

Above formula can be used for most chemiresistors, while there is some exception due to the new adoption behaviors or mixed mechanisms for new sensing materials such as carbon, certain types of MOFs, metals (e.g. Pd for H$_2$) and their composites.

2.2.4.2. Field-effect transistors (FETs). Field-effect transistors (FETs) are three-terminal semiconductor devices with a gate terminal controlling the channel current between the source and the drain terminals.

Fig. 11 shows the differences between chemiresistor and FET-type gas sensors on device structure, circuit diagram and data readout [131]. A typical FET-type gas sensor consists of similar two electrodes (source and drain), sensing film and insulating layers (dielectric layers, e.g. SiO$_2$, GaN, and MOx), while an additional gate electrode is used as a valve to modulate sensing film’s interfacial properties and electrical parameters such as mobility, carrier density, etc. Accordingly, the circuit diagram and data readout of the FET-type gas sensor are changed. Besides, the gate electrode can be either in the bottom of the device or parallel to source/drain electrodes with/without the electrolyte.

To understand the data readout of FETs, that is, the drain current, $I_{DS}$, working principles should be taken into consideration. Generally, $I_{DS}$ is controlled by modulating the voltage of a gate-source terminal ($V_{GS}$), where there is transportation of charge carriers (holes ($h^+$) and/or electrons ($e^-$)) in the channel region near the interface between the semiconductive sensing layer and dielectric layers (Fig. 12a) [132]. Depending on energy-level relationships between the semiconductor and the source/drain electrodes, the device exhibits n-channel, p-channel, and ambipolar operating behaviors. As shown in Fig. 12b [132], depending on the relative applied voltages of the electrodes, current–voltage ($I$–$V$) characteristics of FETs in saturation regime or linear regime (Ohm’s law) are given in Eqs. (8) and (9), respectively.

$$I_{DS} = 1/2 W/L \mu C_i (V_{GS} - V_T)^2, \quad V_{DS} > V_{CS} - V_T$$

(8)

$$I_{DS} = W/L \mu C_i (V_{CS} - V_T) V_{DS}, \quad V_{DS} < V_{CS} - V_T$$

(9)

where $I_{DS}$ refers to the drain current, $W/L$ is the ratio of width-to-length of the channel, $\mu$ refers to the charge carrier mobility in the channel, $C_i$ refers to the capacitance of the gate insulator/dielectric per unit area, $V_T$ refers to the threshold voltage of the transistor, $V_{CS}$ and $V_{DS}$ represents the applied voltage between drain-source and gate-source, respectively.

Basic sensing mechanisms of the FET-type gas sensor are similar to chemiresistors with the primary differences of additional conductivity/resistivity changes attributed to gate electrode modulation, metal–semiconductor contact (Fermi-level shift) and capacitance of the insulating layer upon exposure to gaseous analytes. At the given $V_{DS}$ and $V_{CS}$, the response of FET-type gas sensor with n-type channel toward reducing gaseous analytes is

$$\text{Response} = \frac{\Delta I_{DS}}{I_{DS,\text{air}}} = \frac{(I_{DS,\text{gas}} - I_{DS,\text{air}})}{I_{DS,\text{air}}} = I_{DS,\text{air}}/I_{DS,\text{air}} - 1$$

(10)

2.2.4.3. Chemical diodes. A chemical diode is a two-electrode sensor that merely allows the current to flow in one direction. The key resistance-dominated element is the p-n junction (Fig. 6) or metal–semiconductor Schottky junction (Figs. 7 and 8), the basic device schematic diagrams of which are shown in Fig. 13a [2]. The performances of chemical diodes are bound up with p-n junctions or height of Schottky barrier ($\phi_B$). The height of potential or Schottky barrier ($\phi_B$) can be estimated by Eq. (4) for n-type or Eq. (5) for p-type semiconductor.

The $I$–$V$ response of these diodes is modelled using the ideal diode equation [134,135]:

$$I = I_{\text{sat}} \left( \exp \left( \frac{eV}{(kT)} \right) - 1 \right)$$

(11)
intercept of the fitted line to the linear region give the values of $g_n$ and $n$. The number of carriers that can overcome the energetic barrier generated purely by diffusion current will have recombination behavior of the device. A p-n junction diode is presented as:

$$G = \frac{I_s}{eV_t}$$

where $e$ refers to the electronic charge, $V$ refers to the applied voltage, $k$ refers to the Boltzmann constant, $\eta$ refers to the ideality factor expressed as $\eta = (e/kT)\left(dV/d\ln I_{sat}\right)$, and $I_{sat}$ refers to the reverse saturation current.

For p-n junctions, by taking the natural log of Eq. (11), the ideal diode equation of the linear region of the forward bias is represented as:

$$\ln I = eV/(\eta kT) + \ln I_{sat} = V/\eta V_t + \ln I_{sat}$$

where $V_t$ is the thermal voltage ($e/kT$, 0.026 V at RT). The slope and intercept of the fitted line to the linear region give the values of $\eta$ and $I_{sat}$. The ideality factor is a figure of merit that describes the recombination behavior of the device. A p-n junction diode governed purely by diffusion current will have $n = 1$ (ideal diode), while a device dominated by recombination will have $n > 2$.

The reverse saturation current, $I_{sat}$, is a value to measure the number of carriers that can overcome the energetic barrier generated by the p-n junction or Schottky barrier in the reverse bias direction, which should be minimized to enhance the overall rectification of the diodes.

The current–voltage ($I$–$V$) or current density–voltage ($J$–$V$) plot is widely utilized to describe the characteristics of a diode (Fig. 13b) [133]. The response can be the gas–induced voltage shifts at a given diode current $I$ (or current density $J$), $\Delta V$; or current changes at a given diode voltage, $\Delta I$ [133,136]; or rectification ratio (defined as the forward current divided by the reverse current, $I_f/I_r$) changes [137].

As can be seen from above formulas, the sensing performances of chemical diodes can be modulated by altering the Schottky barrier height, modifying the built-in voltage, varying energy states of semiconductors or tuning the interfaces.

2.2.4.4. Electrochemical sensors. As gas sensors with broad application, electrochemical sensors show promising performances in both fundamental researches and commercial applications, including environment detection, food safe, medical diagnostics, and chemical treat detection. Detection strategies of electrochemical sensors include potentiometry, voltammetry, impedance spectroscopy, and conductometry. Major researches on MOFs and MOF derived materials based electrochemical gas sensors have been electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) strategy so far. There is plenty of room for exploring potential applications as the sensing/functional materials for other types of electrochemical gas sensors.

EIS is conducted by measuring the impedance, a frequency (typically 10$^6$ to 10$^{-4}$ Hz) dependent resistance, after an electrical stimulation (the voltage or the current) in the AC mode. Unlike the three-electrode configuration for electrochemical sensors working in solutions or solid electrolytes (WE refers to the working electrode; CE refers to the counter electrode; RE refers to the reference electrode, Fig. 14a), reported MOFs and MOF derived materials based electrochemical gas sensors adopt two electrodes (e.g. the thin/thick film on insulating substrates with IDEs, pressed pellets with two electrodes) and work under gas/vapor atmosphere (Fig. 14b and c) [138,139]. Generally, impedance data can be represented utilizing either the Nyquist plot or the Bode plot. The former is frequently-used and consists of the negative imaginary part of the impedance $-Z''$ (the y axis) vs. real part of the impedance $Z'$ (x axis). After mathematical calculations based on an equivalent electrical circuit (e.g. resistors, capacitors and/or inductors), the electrochemical characteristics of a studied system can be accessible, including conductivity, dielectric constants, reaction rates, and mass transport. Moreover, there is a need to extract information regarding frequency ($f$) from the Bode plot, the log–log plots of the double Y axis (the impedance $Z$ and the phase shift $\phi$) vs. frequency.

EIS exhibits ultra-sensitive capability to changes caused by either surface or bulk phenomena, which is an ideal method to study ionic/protonic involved gas sensing performances and a promising gas sensor for practical applications.

2.2.4.5. Chemicapacitors. A chemicapacitor is a sensor device made up of two electrodes separated by an insulating layer (the dielectric layer, e.g. air, N$_2$, ceramic, mica, and MOFs), which shows the capacitance change caused by the interactions of the dielectric layer with gaseous analytes under the AC voltage. From the definition, one can easily figure out that the sole difference of the components of a chemicapacitor and a chemiresistor is active materials, which means that all pellets, thin/thick films and single crystal forms can be applied to the chemicapacitor as well. The capacitance ($C$, farads (F)) of a chemicapacitor can be given by Eq. (13) (Section 2.2.1.2).

$$C = \frac{\varepsilon_0 A}{d} = \varepsilon_r \varepsilon_0 \frac{A}{d}$$

where $\varepsilon_r$ is the relative static permittivity (the dielectric constant) of the material between the plates, $A$ is the area of each plate/electrode (m$^2$), and $d$ is the separation distance (m) of the two plates/electrodes.
Based on Eq. (13), the capacitance is determined by the dielectric constant \( e_r \) and the separation distance \( d \). In general, changes of the dielectric constant \( e_r \) are not prominent because of the similar relative permittivity of most inorganic gas. In contrast, the separation distance \( d \) is more sensitive to capacitive gas sensing. However, \( H_2O \) is an exception that may change the dielectric constant, the separation distance and the area of plates/electrodes. At the given \( V \), the response of chemicasitive gas sensor with increased capacitance toward gaseous analytes is

\[
\text{Response} = \frac{\Delta C}{C_{\text{air}}} = \left( \frac{C_{\text{gas}} - C_{\text{air}}}{C_{\text{air}}} \right) = \frac{C_{\text{gas}}}{C_{\text{air}}} - 1 \quad (14)
\]

Fig. 15 shows the simplified circuit diagrams and typical data readouts of chemicapacitors [131]. The simple and low cost configuration enables its miniaturization and integration with other devices. The success of commercial capacitive humidity sensors is a solid example for its low energy consumption, low cost, long-term stability and ease of integration [27,28,141,142]. However, for gaseous analytes except water, the selectivity still poses a great challenge for the chemicapacitor.

3. Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) and their derivatives for chemiresistive gas sensors

3.1. MOFs as active materials for chemiresistive gas sensors

MOFs have attracted significant attention in the area of gas sensing because of two reasons. One is that their ultra-high surface areas, regular porosity and tunable structures will not only improve the sensitivity by providing plenty of accessible active sites for gas adsorption, but also enhance the selectivity toward targeted gaseous analytes by modulated channel traffic effects. The other is that, the new subfamily of ionic-/protonic-conductive and electronic-conductive MOFs (IC/PC-MOFs and EC-MOFs) will provide additional room temperature sensing activity, which provides the possibility of cascading sensitivity, selectivity and room working temperature into a single device. Recent progress on MOF-on-MOF, the MOx/MOF, and the MOF-polymer, MOFs are more promising as active or functional materials for gas sensing. Due to the electrical characteristic of ions/protons, IC-MOFs and IC-MOF composites will be discussed in sections corresponding to FET, electrochemical sensors and chemicapacitors.

3.1.1. Neat MOFs for moderate temperature chemiresistive gas sensing

Since the report on neat MOF electrochemical gas sensor by Achmann et al. in 2009, researchers have started to ponder on the appli-
cation of such promising materials on simpler and cheaper devices, chemiresistors. Unfortunately, their insulating nature of most reported MOFs at that time hindered them from using as active materials of chemiresistors. Fortunately, for semi-conductive MOFs, the electrical conductivity increases with increments on temperature due to the additional thermal activated charge carriers. Based on this, Zhang’s group reported two semiconductive MOFs with good thermal stability, cobalt 2-methylimidazolate Co(mim)$_2$ (ZIF-67, 150 °C) [144] and cobalt imidazolate [Co(im)$_2$]$_n$ (75 °C) [145], which exhibited selective response to targeted gas free from interference of cross-sensitive humidity (Fig. 16a and b). Similarly, later in 2019, NH$_2$-UiO-66(Zr), a famous subfamily MOF firstly developed by the Professor Karl Petter Lillerud at the Department of Chemistry, University of Oslo [146], is reported to exhibit ideal chemiresistive...
sensing properties toward SO \textsubscript{2} at 150 °C in Ar (\(R_{10\text{ppm}} = 21.6\%\), Fig. 16c and d) [147].

The above works indicate that MOFs are rather promising to be chemiresistive gas sensing materials with specific selectivity. However, since their large bandgap generates insulating behavior at room temperature, MOF fail to provide enough thermal energy to activate charge carriers. Consequently, the heating component is still needed.

### 3.1.2. Neat MOFs for room temperature chemiresistive gas sensing

There are two methods to realize the required activity of neat MOFs for room temperature (RT) chemiresistive sensing. One is replacing thermal energy by photo energy for photo-active MOFs, the other is the intrinsic active properties based on redox-active ligands and their good orbital overlapping with metal nodes. While the former method can effectively realize excellent RT sensing activity, additional photo energy supplying components should

---

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Conc.</th>
<th>(R)</th>
<th>(t_{res})/min</th>
<th>(t_{rec})/min</th>
<th>LOD/ppm</th>
<th>(n/s) cm(^{-1})</th>
<th>BET/m(^2) g(^{-1})</th>
<th>Refs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu(_3)(HHTP)(_2)</td>
<td>thick film</td>
<td>80 ppm</td>
<td>-1.8%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>Irrev.</td>
<td>2(^1)</td>
<td>0.002(^2)</td>
<td>540(^{[263]})</td>
<td>[150]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(_3)(HHTP)(_2)</td>
<td>thick film</td>
<td>80 ppm</td>
<td>-1.7%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>Irrev.</td>
<td>2(^1)</td>
<td>0.1(^{[266]})</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>[150]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(_3)(HITP)(_2)</td>
<td>thick film</td>
<td>80 ppm</td>
<td>-49%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>Irrev.</td>
<td>1.4(^2)</td>
<td>0.18(^2)</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>[151]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(_3)(HITP)(_2)</td>
<td>thick film</td>
<td>10 ppm</td>
<td>-81%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>Irrev.</td>
<td>1.4(^2)</td>
<td>2(^1)</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>[151]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiPc-Ni</td>
<td>thick film</td>
<td>1 ppm</td>
<td>-657%</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>Irrev.</td>
<td>0.06–1.06 \times 10(^{-2})</td>
<td>-7.2 \times 10(^{-4})</td>
<td>101(^{[166]})</td>
<td>[166]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiPc-Cu</td>
<td>thick film</td>
<td>1 ppm</td>
<td>-397%</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>Irrev.</td>
<td>0.13–1 \times 10(^{-2})</td>
<td>-1.4 \times 10(^{-4})</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>[166]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) two probe thin film, irrev. is irreversible.

\(b\) two probe pellet,

\(c\) four probe,

\(d\) van der Pauw.
be taken into consideration. As to the latter method, the promising overall high performances are shown by cascading sensitivity, selectivity and room working temperature into a single device free of heating components.

Table 3
Room-temperature gas-sensing properties toward \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \) of various chemiresistive gas sensors working at RT. (1. Experimental LOD, 2. IUPAC method, 3. 3RMS with simulated equation, 4. 10% response).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Structure Conc.</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>( t_{\text{res}}/\text{min} )</th>
<th>( t_{\text{rec}}/\text{min} )</th>
<th>LOD/ppm</th>
<th>( \sigma/s \text{ cm}^{-1} )</th>
<th>BET/(\text{m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1})</th>
<th>Refs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Cu}_3(\text{HHTP})_2 )</td>
<td>thick film 80 ppm</td>
<td>-0.5%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>2^1</td>
<td>0.002^2 [149]</td>
<td>540 [265]</td>
<td>[150]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Ni}_3(\text{HHTP})_2 )</td>
<td>thick film 80 ppm</td>
<td>-4.2%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>Irrev.</td>
<td>2^1</td>
<td>0.1^1 [266]</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>[150]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Ni}_3(\text{HHTP})_2 )</td>
<td>thick film 80 ppm</td>
<td>-98%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>Irrev.</td>
<td>0.23^2</td>
<td>0.1^1 [266]</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>[151]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Ni}_3(\text{HHTP})_2 )</td>
<td>thick film 80 ppm</td>
<td>-97%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>Irrev.</td>
<td>0.52^2</td>
<td>2^1, 40^1</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>[151]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{NiPc-Ni} )</td>
<td>thick film 80 ppm</td>
<td>-98%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>Irrev.</td>
<td>0.002–0.032^2</td>
<td>-7.2 \times 10^{-6}</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>[150]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{NiPc-Cu} )</td>
<td>thick film 80 ppm</td>
<td>-100%</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>Irrev.</td>
<td>0.001–0.018^2</td>
<td>-1.4 \times 10^{-8}</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>[166]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{ZnO@ZIF-8} )</td>
<td>thin film 10 ppm</td>
<td>52.1%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>0.05^1</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>145.5</td>
<td>[208]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) two probe thin film, irrev. is irreversible.
\(^b\) two probe pellet,
\(^c\) four probe,
\(^d\) van der Pauw.

Fig. 19. (a) PXRD patterns of the \( \text{Cu}_3(\text{HHTP})_2 \) for a bulk powder sample, a spray-coated film, and a powder re-isolated from the coating (insets are the photography of a spray-coated layer and the SEM image of the surface of the coating). (b) Response-recovery curve toward methanol. The red line represents the injection time points. Reproduced with permission [158]. Copyright 2018, The Royal Society of Chemistry. (c) Scheme of the vapor-assisted conversion (VAC) setup. (d) Top-view and cross-section SEM micrographs of \( \text{Cu}_3(\text{HHTP})_2 \) films, respectively. Reproduced with permission [159]. Copyright 2019, American Chemical Society. (e) Illustration of the preparation of \( \text{Cu}_3(\text{HHTP})_2 \) thin-film gas sensors, and the corresponding (f) Measured changes of current of the MOF film sensor towards \( \text{NH}_3 \) with various concentrations. The inset showed the simulated SAED patterns of oriented and disordered MOF films. Reproduced with permission [162]. Copyright 2017, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.

Since the stable and porous EC-MOFs, \( \text{Cu}[\text{Cu}(\text{pdt})_2] \) (pdt = 2,3-pyrinedithiolate), synthesized by H. Kitagawa et al in 2009 [148], dozens of porous EC-MOFs have been developed, with excellent electrical properties [2]. In 2015, the EC-MOF chemiresistive
gas sensor based on Cu₃(HHTP)₂ (HHTP = 2, 3, 6, 7, 10, 11-hexaiminotriphenylene, 0.2 S cm⁻¹, pellet, two-probe) was successfully fabricated by the cooperation of Dinca and Swager’s groups (Fig. 17a) [22]. The thick film of Cu₃(HHTP)₂ chemiresistor shows reversible p-type sensing behaviors, that is, decreased conductivity (-3%) toward 10 ppm reducing gas NH₃, with an experimental LOD of 0.5 ppm (Fig. 17b, Table 1).

The isostructural Ni₃(HHTP)₂ chemiresistor, with high conductivity of 2 S cm⁻¹ (pellet, two-probe), failed to respond to NH₃, signifying the direct influence of rational synthetic variation of EC-MOFs on functionality like chemiresistive sensing. Based on it, they subsequently and systematically studied the discrimination between different categories of VOCs of chemiresistive gas sensor arrays consisted of a family of structurally analogous 2D MOFs constructed by the ligands of HHTP (2,3,6,7,10,11-hexahydroxytriphenylene) or HITP, and metal centers of Cu or Ni (Fig. 17c) [149]. The corresponding results indicate that multiple sensing mechanisms are operative with high degrees of orthogonality, which can discriminate different categories of VOCs by PCA method (Fig. 17d). Such works reveal that rational designing of the MOF’s chemical and electronic structures can be an effective method to improve sensor materials with selective detection and identification.

To overcome the poor grain contacts in the thick film, Mirica’s group later reported the direct growth of Cu₃(HHTP)₂ and Ni₃(-HHTP)₂ nanorods on shrinkable polymeric films equipped with miniaturized graphitic electrodes (Fig. 18a) [150]. According to the results, the high response and reversal conductivity change toward oxidizing gas NO are predicted, and Ni centers were expected to be more favorable for sensing of H₂S (Tables 1–3).

For better electrical contact, they changed the substrate to textiles, tiles (SOFT, Fig. 18b) [151]. The Ni₃(HHTP)₂ and Ni₃(HITP)₂ showed directly grown. Thus, it is called self-organized frameworks on textiles (SOFT, Fig. 18b) [151]. The Ni₃(HHTP)₂ and Ni₃(HITP)₂ showed high response toward both NO and H₂S, with a LOD down to the sub-ppm level. It is interesting to observe opposite responses of two different sensors toward NO (Fig. 18c), indicating that besides charge transfer, MOF-NO interaction induced n/p type doping depending on energy states of the EC-MOFs may contribute to the resistance changes. While both sensors show irreversibility toward NO or H₂S, they can be recovered simply by water washing. Anti-humidity tests showed that the sensors can work reliably up to 18 RH%.

Through the direct growth method, both the large grain size and bad grain contact hinder the effective transport of the electron and the mass in aforementioned electrical devices with powders, thick films or loosely interconnected nanocrystals. Further improvement of the performances of these devices requires the high-quality EC-MOF thin film [152–156]. The significance of the MOF thin film has boosted the development of plentiful different strategies for fabricating it on solid substrates [157], including top-down and bottom-up methods.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Conc.</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>t₁₀₀₀/min</th>
<th>t₀₀₀/min</th>
<th>LOD/ppm</th>
<th>σ/s cm⁻¹</th>
<th>BET/m² g⁻¹</th>
<th>Refs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu₃HHTP₂</td>
<td>thin film</td>
<td>saturated Methanol</td>
<td>~140%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>0.002²</td>
<td>540[265]</td>
<td>[158]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₃HHTP₂</td>
<td>thick film</td>
<td>ethanol</td>
<td>~370%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>0.002²</td>
<td>540[265]</td>
<td>[149]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₃HITP₂</td>
<td>thin film</td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>~1.3%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>0²</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>[149]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni₃HITP₂</td>
<td>thin film</td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>~1.8%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>2²</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>[149]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₃(HHTP)₂</td>
<td>thin film</td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>~1%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>0.02²</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>[162]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIF-8/MWCNT/AgNPs</td>
<td>thick film</td>
<td>1000 ppm ethanol</td>
<td>~8.05%</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>18470²</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>1176</td>
<td>[197]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO/ZIF-8@POM</td>
<td>thick film</td>
<td>100 ppm methanol</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>432.4</td>
<td>[210]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIF-8/MWCNT/AgNPs</td>
<td>thick film</td>
<td>10000 ppm ethanol</td>
<td>~12.16%</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>3990²</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>1176</td>
<td>[197]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₃HHTP₂</td>
<td>thick film</td>
<td>200 ppm ethanol</td>
<td>~2.3%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>0.002²</td>
<td>540[265]</td>
<td>[149]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₃HITP₂</td>
<td>thick film</td>
<td>200 ppm ethanol</td>
<td>~0.8%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>0.2²</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>[149]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni₃HITP₂</td>
<td>thick film</td>
<td>200 ppm ethanol</td>
<td>~4%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>2²</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>[149]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₃(HHTP)₂</td>
<td>thin film</td>
<td>100 ppm ethanol</td>
<td>~0%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>0.02²</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>[162]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₃HHTP-THQ</td>
<td>thick film</td>
<td>100 ppm ethanol</td>
<td>~10%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>~2.5 × 10⁻⁵⁴</td>
<td>441.2</td>
<td>[168]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO/ZIF-8@POM</td>
<td>thick film</td>
<td>533 ppm ethanol</td>
<td>~30%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>432.4</td>
<td>[210]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu[Ni(pdt)₂]₂</td>
<td>light</td>
<td>Acetylene</td>
<td>-0.5%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>2.6 × 10⁻⁵⁴</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>[167]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-101(Cr) ⊃ PEDOT</td>
<td>pellet</td>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>10/10 ppm</td>
<td>~4%/46%</td>
<td>0.5–2.5</td>
<td>Irrev.</td>
<td>0.06²</td>
<td>10⁻⁶–10⁻⁴</td>
<td>803–3100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd/ZIF-8</td>
<td>Thick film</td>
<td>10000 ppm</td>
<td>~3.3%</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.17</td>
<td>~600²</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>[182]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/ZIF-8</td>
<td>Thick film</td>
<td>1000 ppm</td>
<td>~4%/46%</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>~0.19²</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>1164.5</td>
<td>[196]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a four probe,
b two probe pellet,
c van der Pauw,
d two probe thin film, irrev. is irreversible.
Fig. 20. Typical organic linkers and metal centers in conductive MOFs with planar multidentate linkers. Reproduced with permission [42]. Copyright 2020, Elsevier.

Fig. 21. (a) Schematic illustration for synthesis of isoreticular NiPc-M (left) and NiNPc-M (right). The simulated structures with 2×2 square grids in eclipsed stacking mode from top and side view. Chemiresistive response of NiPc-Ni MOF (top) and NiPc-Cu MOF (bottom) to (b) NH₃, (c) H₂S, and (d) NO at different concentrations. Reproduced with permission [166]. Copyright 2018, American Chemical Society. (e) Single crystal structure of Cu[Ni(pdt)₂] with one-dimensional pore channel and three dimensional structure. The bottom showed its redox-active NiS₄ unit. The Cu, Ni, S, N, and C atoms are represented in teal, green, yellow, blue, and gray spheres, respectively. The hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. (f) The conductivity testing cell utilized for in situ conductance measurements on a gas adsorption analyzer. (g) Room temperature conductivity isotherms as a function of absolute pressure for acetylene (purple diamonds), ethylene (red squares), ethane (orange diamonds), propylene (green circles), propane (blue circles), and cis-2-butene (teal circles). (h) Conductivity-composition profiles of different gaseous. Reproduced with permission [167]. Copyright 2019, American Chemical Society.
2D EC-MOFs can be applied as active materials for chemiresistors. Based on the typical square-planar building unit, MX₄, the trigonal ligand can be replaced by square ligands to construct new 2D EC-MOFs [163–166]. Such square ligands based on redox-active organic linkers can significantly affect the sensing performances (Fig. 20) [42]. Functional group effects can be found in Dincă and Mirica’s works mentioned above (Figs. 17 and 18). Based on the typical square-planar building unit, MX₄, the trigonal ligand can be replaced by square ligands to construct new 2D EC-MOFs [163–166]. Such square ligands based on redox-active organic linkers can be applied as active materials for chemiresistors.

For instance, Mirica’s group used two square ligands to synthesize isoreticular phthalocyanine and naphthalocyanine-based MOFs (Fig. 21a) [166]. Simulations showed that both EC-MOFs are consistent with the P4/mmm space group with eclipsed facial AA-stacking mode. The conductivity of NiPc-M (M = Ni or Cu, 10⁻² to 10⁻² SC⁻¹) is lower than that of NiPc-P (M = Ni or Cu), while their BET surface areas are similar to each other (101–284 m² g⁻¹). Fig. 21b–d indicate that NiPc-Cu and NiPc-Ni have reversible responses toward NH₃ and H₂S/NO, respectively. Moreover, LOD values of them toward NH₃ are in the sub-ppm level (Tables 1–3). Interestingly, their work provides a chance to gain an insight into the possible sensing mechanism of these materials by XPS and EPR. Briefly, the reduction/oxidation of ligands and/or metals, and the reduction/enhancement of the unpaired radicals are observed by interaction of the MOF with reducing gas (H₂S)/oxidizing gas (NO). Both changes are essential factors determining the conductivity of an EC-MOF, which may contribute to further experimental and computational works on sensing mechanisms of 2D EC-MOFs.

The square ligand can be shortened to 2,3-pyrazinedithiolate (pdt). Due to the formation of two different square-planar building units of MX₄, the final coordination network, Cu[Ni(pdt)₂], shows a 3D framework constructed by sulfur coordinated square planar nickel(II) pyrazine-2,3-dithiolate units (NiS₄) linked by nitrogen-coordinated square planar copper(II) centers (CuN₄) (Fig. 21e) [167], similar to that of CuCu[ptd]₂. By using a homemade instrument for in situ conductance measurements on a gas adsorption analyzer (Fig. 21f), J. R. Long’s group successfully obtained the in situ conductivity-adsorption data on an ohmic contact of the MOF pellet with electrodes. After converting to resistance changes, the response vs. pressure curves can be acquired (Fig. 21g and Table 4). Calculated by Fig. 21g and absorption capacity at different pressure, the curves of response vs. quantity of adsorbed gas molecules demonstrate good linearity for almost all gas (Fig. 21h).

Based on the single redox-active organic ligand systems, above works can be further extended to the dual redox-active organic ligand systems to possibly provide more choices on conductivity, topology and functions. By the proper choice of the binary trigonal organic linkers, the electron transport in “through-bond” or “through-space” pathways can be effectively modulated, which varies their conductivity and porosity via electron delocalization efficiency and channel shapes, respectively. Accordingly, M. Yao, S. Kitagawa and coworkers reported a novel 2D π-conjugation EC-PCP, Cu₃(HHTP)(THQ) (THQ = tetrahydroxy-1,4-quinone), with regular trigonal crystal structure constructed by uniform hybrid of two trigonal organic ligands (Fig. 22a) [168]. Because of the strong chelation between Cuᴵ and ethylenediamine (en), en has been chosen as the modulator to balance the coordination capability of HHTP and THQ with Cuᴵ via a competing bond formation mechanism. The dramatically modulated electronic conductivity (2.53 × 10⁻⁵ S cm⁻¹) and high porosity (with BET value of −441.2 m² g⁻¹) of Cu₃(HHTP)(THQ) nanowires provide the low conductivity baseline and highly accessible areas, facilitating the outstanding room temperature chemiresistive sensing properties. The corresponding thick film sensor presented noticeable discrimination to low concentration of NH₃ with fast speed and distinguished LOD down to −0.02–0.35 ppm.

3.1.3. MOF-on-MOFs for chemiresistive gas sensing

Proposed by S. Furukawa, O. Sakata, and S. Kitagawa in 2008 and 2009 for the core–shell single crystal or sandwich-type A-B-A MOFs (Fig. 23a) [169,170], such a concept of MOF-on-MOF or

Fig. 22. (a) Schematic illustration of the synthesis of the dual-ligand Cu₃(HHTP)(THQ); (b) the simulated crystal structure presented in space filling model; and (c) room temperature response-concentration log–log plots toward NH₃. Reproduced with permission [168]. Copyright 2020, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.
PCP-on-PCP was predicted by C. Wöll’s group in 2009 to be useful for MOF-on-MOF thin films [171]. At the same time in 2011 (Fig. 23b), it was realized by the successful fabrications of hetero-structured MOF thin films or hetero-structured Sur-MOFs, reported by groups including O. Shekhah, C. Wöll, R. A. Fischer, S. Furukawa, and S. Kitagawa [172,173].

With the potential to cascade various characteristics of different MOF layers in sequence to integrate multifunctions that can not be acquired by single MOF layers [174–177], hetero-structured MOF-on-MOF thin films typically require one-to-one coordination bond- ing at the interface, less lattice mismatch and similar topology, which limits the preparation of the MOF hetero-structure with distinct crystal structures in different MOF layers.

The van der Waals integration method, which can overcome the limits on lattice matching and topology similarity, was utilized by G. Xu’s group to deposit molecular sieving MOF layer (Cu-TCPP, TCPP = 5, 10, 15, 20-tetrakis (4-carboxyphenyl) porphyrin) onto the surface of the MOF heterostructure (Fig. 23c) [58]. By this means, the functions of semiconductive MOF layers (Cu-HHTP) to get highly oriented thin films or hetero-structured Sur-MOFs, reported by groups combining both high-porosity MOFs and sensing activity of the conducting polymer has been developed for MOFs-polymer composites based chemiresistors. For example, S. Kitagawa, T. Uemura and their coworkers reported a facile method to obtain a series of conductive MOFs-polymer composites by the controlled polymerization of certain amounts of 3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene (EDOT) in the cavities of the host framework of MIL-101(Cr) [178], a famous stable and highly porous sub-family MOFs developed by G. Férey’s group in 2005 [179]. The obtained MIL-101(Cr) >> PEDOT (x), where x is the mass fraction of PEDOT in the composite (determined from the S/Cr ratio by XRF), showed a reasonable electronic conductivity (x = 57, 1.1 × 10⁻⁵ S cm⁻¹) while maintaining high porosity (x = 57, BET, 803 m² g⁻¹, Fig. 24b). The conductivity of PEDOT, a p-type semiconductor can be modified by the presence of oxidizing analytes due to the shift of its Fermi level, which can be used for chemiresistors. Compared with low sensitivity of pristine PEDOT sensor (BET, 2 m² g⁻¹, R10 ppm = 0.8%), MIL-101(Cr) >> PEDOT (45) showed 23 times higher sensitivity toward NO₂ due to the highly accessible surface areas (BET, 1038 m² g⁻¹, R10 ppm = 46%, Fig. 24c). Also, LOD value of ~60 ppb was estimated from linear relationship of response vs. concentration points.

Inspired by the pioneer work on the TCNQ@HKUST-1 conductive composite (7, 7, 8, 8-tetracyanoquinodimethane), the organic semiconductor tetraethylfulvalene (TF) was introduced to Co-MOF-74 to form the Guest@MOF type MOFs-organic molecule composites by I. Strauss and J. Caro (Fig. 24d) [180,181]. Compared with Co-MOF-74, the obtained Co-MOF-74-TF nanocomposite exhibited increased electrical conductivity but diminished CO₂ adsorption because part of the blockage of its partial pores by infiltrating TF (Fig. 24e). Primary results of the conductivity change upon exposure to different gas are reported, from which slight differences can be observed but further improvement is still in need (Fig. 24f).
3.2.2. MOFs-metals composites

MOFs-metal composites are popularly applied as high-performance catalysts owing to their unique combination of high surface areas, catalytic effects, size-narrowed distribution, etc. As for chemiresistor, the most representative example is the Pd/ZIF composites combining selective and sensitive Pd nanowires with the molecule sieving MOF [182]. The selective detection of Pd toward H₂ is relying on the specific resistance change resulted from the formation of a solid solution of Pd/H (α-phase) or palladium hydride (β-phase). As of the first example on Pd-Ni alloy reported by Hughes and Schubert [183], thin films [184], nanodots [185], mesowires [186], nanotubes [187], and specific patterns [188] of Pd have been developed to reach the selective and sensitive detection of H₂. Among them, the high surface area and the well-formed interconnection of Pd nanotubes enabled highly sensitive response toward H₂ at RT (R₁₀₀₀ ppm = 1000%), while showing a response time of ~3.17 min due to slower speed of Pd/H (α-phase) comparison with that of β-phase occurred in the range of 1–2% at RT [189]. ZIF-8/MAF-4 is a subfamily of MOFs with excellent chemical and thermal stability, as well as flexible channels for gas adsorption and separation [190,191]. Accordingly, I.-D. Kim's group and R. M. Penner's group fabricated Pd/ZIF-8 NW bilayered thick film (Fig. 25a) [182]. Interestingly, the acceleration effect of highly porous ZIF-8 gives rise to excellent response (~0.22 min) and recovery speed (~0.10 min) of Pd/ZIF-8 sensors toward 1000 ppm of H₂. In addition, the micropores (0.34 nm) of ZIF-8
can act as a molecule sieving layer from the viewpoints of kinetic factors. The kinetic diameter difference between H$_2$ (0.298 nm) and interfering O$_2$ (0.346 nm) significantly facilitates the faster diffusion of H$_2$ than O$_2$ in ZIF-8. However, it should be noted that, ZIF-8 cannot separate gas with the kinetic diameter smaller than 0.7 nm from the viewpoint of thermodynamic factors because the flexibility of its framework [192,193]. Some related works on MOF-metal composites such as Pt@Cu-HHTP and Au@ZnO@ZIF-8 were also designed for the detection of other gaseous NO$_2$ and HCHO [194–196], respectively. Assisted by visible light irradiation, the Au@ZnO@ZIF-8 sensor with catalytic Au and porous MOF shell showed not only selective response to HCHO, but also good anti-interference properties against gases like H$_2$O and toluene.

### 3.2.3. MOFs-carbon composites

Carbon materials are a class of crystalline or amorphous materials with excellent conductivity ranging from semiconductor to conductor. They can be adopted as the host materials or additives to facilitate the charge transfer and transport with MOFs, which can thus change the conductivity and sensing activity of the MOFs-carbon composites [197].

A typical example is to use HKUST-1 (Cu$_3$(BTC)$_2$ (BTC = benzene tricarboxylic) [198], as the gas concentration component in chemiresistive graphene oxide (GO) or aminated GO (GOU) [199]. The resultant HKUST-1/GO (25 wt% of GO) showed reversible response towards NH$_3$ at room temperature ($R_{100}$ppm = 4%, Fig. 26a and b), as along with the high Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface areas of 916 m$^2$/g. Additionally, systematic studies also confirm that, the chemical bonding of HKUST-1 with GO facilitates the reduced acidity of GO, effective analyte-material interaction and the subsequent charge transfer. The chemiresistive properties of HKUST-1/GO were attributed to the collapse of MOF (Fig. 26c).

Another example of MOFs-carbon composites is using EC-MOFs as sensing materials, while carbon materials as the enhanced additives for charge transfer and transport. H. Deng’s group deposited polycrystalline Ni$_2$(HHTP)$_2$ on single-layer graphene (G) to obtain a transparent chemiresistor with an electrical conductivity up to 4.0 $\times$ 10$^3$ S m$^{-1}$ (two probes, thin film; transparency, 95.7%; Fig. 26d) [200]. The Ni$_2$(HHTP)$_2$/G sensor can detect NH$_3$ in the range of 0.01–500 ppm at RT (Fig. 26e). The response-concentration plots follow well with the first-order kinetic. Besides, a heterojunction structure between G and Ni$_2$(HHTP)$_2$ (thickness, 15 nm) was fabricated to study the electron transfer mechanism as shown in Fig. 26f. The Kelvin probe force microscope (KPFM) and Raman spectra revealed the possible electron charge transfer scheme, as illustrated in the lower part of Fig. 26f. Upon contacting, the electrons moved from Ni$_2$(HHTP)$_2$ to the p-doped G until they reached an equilibrium at the Fermi level. The introduced NH$_3$ molecules mainly interacted with Ni$_2$(HHTP)$_2$, which transferred more electrons to G and thus increased the resistance.

### 3.2.4. MOFs-metal oxides composites

Metal oxides (MOXs) semiconducting materials, such as ZnO, SnO$_2$, In$_2$O$_3$ and WO$_3$, have aroused extensively interests in the field of chemiresistive gas sensor. Due to the low cost, portability, real-time operability and ease of operation, the MOX-based gas sensor has been used in numerous industrial and domestic applications on detecting gaseous analytes, including fields of medical care, food industry, environment monitoring, public security affairs, and agricultural production. However, lack of selectivity and relatively high working temperature are still the notable bottlenecks that limit the further applications of MOX sensors.

To address the above-mentioned issues, plenty of research has been conducted to enhance the performance of chemiresistive gas sensor on detecting gaseous analytes by coating ZIFs/MAFs onto MOX to form core-sheath or core–shell architectures in the form of either thin films or powders [192,201–208]. These works indicate that, the construction of MOX@MOF core-sheath/shell architectures is a promising material design strategy for high performance chemiresistors by combining the high sensitivity of MOX with the excellent selectivity, catalytic effects and additional functions of MOFs. As for powders used in thick film sensor, ZnO@ZIF-8 core-sheath NRs were prepared according to the hydrothermal
method via the self-template strategy by H. Fan’s group (Fig. 27a) [201]. As a result, the chemiresistive gas sensor prepared based on the thick film of ZnO@ZIF-8 hybrids exhibited excellent sensitivity and response time towards 100 ppm formaldehyde, even with the interfering humidity (Fig. 27b).

A typical example for thin film sensor is the core-sheath MOx@MOFs nanowire arrays chemiresistive sensor fabricated by Yao et al. to detect acetone under interfering humidity, which is achieved through coating a layer of hydrophobic and thermally catalytic ZIF-CoZn thin film onto ZnO (Fig. 27c) [192]. In addition to hydrophobic channels, the bimetallic ZIF-CoZn MOF sheaths also showed both noticeable thermal stability of ZIF-8(Zn) and outstanding thermally catalytic ability of ZIF-67(Co) on ZnO. In this work, the as-prepared ZnO@ZIF-CoZn showed good selectivity between acetone and humidity with low CV (7.4%, 0–90 RH%, Fig. 27d). Moreover, compared with the MOx sensor without

Fig. 26. Typical response curves for (a) HKUST-1/GO and (b) HKUST-1/GOU composite materials exposed to various ammonia concentrations, after the initial stabilization; and (c) crystal structure of HKUST-1 and changes in the texture of the hybrid materials upon exposure to NH3. Reproduced with permission [199]. Copyright 2015, The Royal Society of Chemistry. (d) Illustration of the device composed of Ni3(HHTP)2/G; (e) time-resolved adsorption curves of NH3 with different concentration detected by this device; (f) electron transfer mechanisms: illustration of the KPFM test and the band diagram of Ni3(HHTP)2/G and the electron transfer mechanism during ammonia adsorption. Reproduced with permission [200]. Copyright 2020, WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.
MOF sheath, the prepared ZIF-CoZn MOF realized significantly improved performances in terms of responses (enhanced ~20 times), LOD (improved by ~100 times), the response and recovery behaviors (accelerated by 48% and 470%, respectively) as well as the operating temperature (reduced by ~125 °C).

It is found in experiment that, gaseous analytes with similar sensing responses show different kinetic diameters ($D_k$), which thereby enhance its selectivity of MO X core by modulating the channel traffic of analytic molecules in MOF sheath based on their $D_k$ difference. Similar to the situation mentioned for the Pd/ZIF-8 sensors [182], the flexibility of ZIF-8/MAF-4 framework is thermodynamically unfavorable for the sieving effects of gas molecules on the chemiresistive gas sensors. According to the sensing measurements towards other gases, ZnO@ZIF-CoZn almost responded to all the tested gases, with the differences in responses originating from the cross-sensitivity of ZnO towards these gases (Fig. 27 e). Similar problems on traffic control of the gas molecules works on other ZIFs or MIL-125 as the sheathes/shells, which is due to their drawback of the dynamically open and/or oversized aperture [192,201,209]. Therefore, the essential issue to be addressed is how to control the channel flexibility, functionalization and/or size in the MOF sheaths, thus realizing the modulated selectivity by controlling the channel traffic of gaseous analytes. Accordingly, the Au–ZnO@ZIF-8–DMBIM with the traffic control ability of acetone and benzene series was prepared by G Xu’s group through the in-situ post-synthetic modification of ZIF-8 sheath with DMBIM ligands (Fig. 27 f) [205]. The ZIF-8–DMBIM sheath showed much more rigid and smaller aperture than that of the pristine ZIF-8 sheath, which promoted the selective penetration of acetone due to its smaller $D_k$. Consequently, vapor sorption measurements and chemiresistive sensing results displayed the good selectivity toward acetone against benzene series are ($S_\text{acetone/ benzene} = 21.6$, Table 5, Fig. 27g and h). By employing the linear discriminant analysis (LDA) method, the Au–ZnO@ZIF 30 nm–DMBIM achieved high accuracy in classifying 90 trials with a small standard deviation.

In a different way, the channel traffic effects can be modulated using RHO-type ZIF-71 instead of SOD-type ZIF-8 in the MOF-MOX composites, which can be ascribed to the different topology and functional groups of organic ligands [204]. Notably, ZIF-71, a zeolite MOF constructed by Zn ions with 4,5-dichloroimidazole (dcIm), possessed larger pore size (4.8 Å) and cavities (16.8 Å) in its framework than those of ZIF-8. Moreover, the sensing results showed

---

**Fig. 27.** (a) Schematic illustration of the ZnO@ZIF-8 NRs prepared using ZnO NRs as a template; and (b) gas sensing performance of the ZnO NRs and ZnO@ZIF-8 NRs sensor towards 100 ppm of different VOCs at 300 °C. Reproduced with permission [201]. Copyright 2016, American Chemical Society. (c) Schematic diagram of ZnO@ZIF-CoZn core-sheath NWAs sensor; (d) response-recovery curves towards acetone at various concentrations in dry air and in 10 ppm acetone under different relative humidity and at 260 °C; and (e) responses of ZnO and ZnO@5 nm ZIF-CoZn to different gases (100 ppm, 260 °C). Reproduced with permission [192]. Copyright 2016, Wiley-VCH, WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim. (f) Schematic illustration of the preparation of Au–ZnO@MOF; Au–ZnO@ZIF 30 nm–DMBIM: (g) selectivity comparison of acetone towards three kinds of benzene-derives analytes; and (d) selectivity comparison among various sensing active materials. Reproduced with permission [205]. Copyright 2019, The Royal Society of Chemistry.
that it had enhanced selectivity towards ethanol against benzene and H₂.

Different from ZnO@ZIFs used for sensors that work at moderate temperatures (100–350 °C), two methods can be used to enable the RT sensing detection for MOF-MOx composites. Of them, one method is based on the resistance change induced by the reversible phase change. A representative example is the formation of metal sulfides (MSs) for MOx containing the sensing materials upon the exposure to H₂S, a dangerous toxic gas with high activity [118,208]. The other method is to introduce the photocatalytic components to realize RT sensing activity via photogenerated charge carriers [195,210]. MOFs act as the gas pre-concentration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Structure</th>
<th>Conc.</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>t_{on}/min</th>
<th>t_{off}/min</th>
<th>LOD/ppm</th>
<th>T/°C</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Refs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZnO@ZIF-8 thick film HCHO 100 ppm</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-5.6</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>4 ethanol</td>
<td>[201]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO@ZIF-8 thick film Acetone 100 ppm</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.9 ethanol</td>
<td>[201]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO@CoZn-ZIF 5 nm thin film Acetone 100 ppm</td>
<td>82.97</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>21.6 benzene</td>
<td>[192]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-ZnO@ZIF-DMBIM 5 nm thin film Acetone 100 ppm</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>3.7 m-xylene</td>
<td>[205]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO@ZIF-8 thin film H₂S 10 ppm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>[208]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO@ZIF-8 thin film H₂S 50 ppm</td>
<td>~1</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14.7 ethanol</td>
<td>[210]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO@ZIF-71 thin film H₂S 10 ppm</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.387</td>
<td>RT, light</td>
<td>12.6 toluene</td>
<td>[26]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO@ZIF-71 thin film H₂S 10 ppm</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>Anti-humidity</td>
<td>[204]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO@ZIF-71 thin film H₂S 10 ppm</td>
<td>38.9%</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>8.92</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>-6.5 ethanol</td>
<td>[204]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO@ZIF-71 thin film H₂S 10 ppm</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-4 H₂</td>
<td>[206]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO@ZIF-71 thin film H₂S 10 ppm</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-25 benzene</td>
<td>[206]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5
Gas-sensing properties toward different gases of various MOF composites based chemiresistive gas sensors. (1. Experimental LOD, 2. IUPAC method, 3. RMS with simulated equation, 4. 10% response).

Fig. 28. (a) Schematic diagram of the synthesis of ZIF-67-derived porous Co₃O₄ particles. Reproduced with permission [211]. Copyright 2014, American Chemical Society. (b) Dynamic sensing transients towards 5 ppm of p-xylene at 225 °C and (c) gas responses towards 5 ppm of ethanol, p-xylene, toluene, benzene, formaldehyde, and carbon monoxide at 200–300 °C of different ZIF-67 derived monodisperse hollow hierarchical Co₃O₄ nanocages. Reproduced with permission [212]. Copyright 2018, American Chemical Society. SEM images of as-prepared Cu₂O/CuO cages with different morphologies: (d) octahedra, (e) truncated octahedra, (f) cubes; insets in (a)–(c) show magnified images; (g) XRD patterns of the three Cu₂O/CuO cages. Reproduced with permission [213]. Copyright 2015, The Royal Society of Chemistry. (h) Schematic diagram of prepared process for the n-SnO₂ HNCs functionalized with Co₃O₄ and PdO and the pattern recognition based on PCA using sensor arrays (Co₃O₄ loaded n-SnO₂ HNCs and Co₃O₄-PdO loaded n-SnO₂ HNCs). Reproduced with permission [214]. Copyright 2017, American Chemical Society.
and selective adsorption/rejection components in both cases. For instance, G. Zhu’s group integrated the photo activity of ZnO, the electron capture of polyoxometalate (POM), and the hydrophobicity and high porosity of ZIF-8 into one material [210]. Assisted by a Xe lamp, the resultant ZnO@ZIF8@POM sensor showed good response, fast speed and selectivity towards HCHO at RT (Table 5).

3.3. MOFs derived materials for chemiresistive gas sensors

3.3.1. MOX and their composites

The regular metal nodes and functional organic ligands located in MOFs, along with additional cavities, are well matched with the precursors required for porous MOX and their composites. Inspired by excellent works on MOF derived materials in other areas, Q. Kuang’s group prepared the ZIF-67 derived porous Co$_3$O$_4$ particles for chemiresistive gas sensors in 2014 (Fig. 28a) [211]. To improve the sensing performances, J. H. Lee’s group further obtained the ZIF-67 derived monodisperse hollow hierarchical Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocages in combination with intermediate solvothermal treatment of ZIF-67 self-sacrificial templates [212]. The sensing characteristics are closely related to the size, thickness of the shell, meso-pores, as well as hollow/hierarchical morphology. As a result, the optimal sample with the highly gas accessible areas/sites and good gas diffusion exhibited high responses to 5 ppm p-xylene (78.6) and toluene (43.8) at 225°C (Fig. 28b and c).

The mixed phases of Cu$_2$O/CuO cages, which have hierarchical structure and high surface areas (~150 m$^2$/g), can be obtained with HKUST-1 polyhedra as the precursors (Fig. 28d-g). Through controlled oxidizing pyrolysis, the metal ions-loaded MOFs or MOFs with heterostructure, ZnO porous nanosheets [215], porous p-n/n-p (e.g. Zn$_x$Co$_{3-x}$O$_4$, ZnO-Co$_3$O$_4$, Co$_3$O$_4$-In$_2$O$_3$, LaFeO$_3$/a-Fe$_2$O$_3$) [216–220], bimetallic MOx (e.g. NiFe$_2$O$_4$) [221], or noble metal decorated MOx (e.g. Ag-In$_2$O$_3$, PdO-Co$_3$O$_4$-SnO$_2$) [214,222], can be obtained when replacing the precursors by the bimetallic MOF. To take an example, I. D. Kim’s group reported a MOFs derived n-type SnO$_2$ porous structures based on the p-n transition in MOF-templated p-type Co$_3$O$_4$ by galvanic replacement reaction (GRR) [214]. Eventually, the catalytic Pd catalysts in MOF precursors formed the porous Pd$_x$Co$_3$O$_4$-SnO$_2$ with the residual Co$_3$O$_4$ clusters during GRR (Fig. 28h). Notably, the Pd$_x$Co$_3$O$_4$-SnO$_2$ sensor exhibited good sensitivity, fast speed and excellent selectivity towards acetone at 450°C, which was attributable to the highly gas accessible surfaces and effective modulation of the electron depletion layer assisted by the abundant p-n junction (Table 5). In addition, the PCA results showed good discrimination of 8 gas molecules, and the concentration variations ranged from 1 to 5 ppm SnO$_2$ (Fig. 28h).

3.3.2. Carbon materials and their composites

The extensively investigated MOF derived carbon materials represent a famous class of active materials, which have widely applied in lots of areas, like energy storage/conversion, environmental remediation, and catalysis [59,223–225]. Inspired by the excellent RT sensing activity of semiconductor-carbon composites, the porous MOF derived carbon materials can also serve as the promising active materials for chemiresistors based on the semiconductor-carbon composites [226,227]. Using MOFs pre-loaded with active material as the precursors is an effective synthetic method for the MOF derived semiconductor-carbon. As shown in Fig. 29a, when the WS$_2$-loaded ZIF-67 is used as the precursor, the well distributed 2D few-layered WS$_2$ confined within the porous carbon composites can be acquired [227]. More-

Fig. 29. (a) A schematic illustration of preparation process of WS$_2$-Co-N-HCNCs derived from MOF based precursor; (b) dynamic response transitions of the sensors toward 1–5 ppm of NO$_2$ measured at RT. Reproduced with permission [227]. Copyright 2018, WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim. SEM image of (c) polyhedral particles of ZnZIF-67 and (d) CoZn-NCNTs, respectively; (e) TEM image of the Medusa-hair-like structure on the surface of CoZn-NCNT particles; Schematic representations of the structures of (f) ZnZIF-67 and (g) CoZn-NCNTs, respectively; (h) Schematic of the adsorption of SO$_2$ molecules assisted by Zn doping atoms on the nanotubes. Reproduced with permission [226]. Copyright 2019, The Royal Society of Chemistry.
over, the N-rich 2-methylimidazole ligand further provides active N dopants for the carbon materials. During the pyrolysis process, the ZIF-67 framework has effectively suppressed the growth of WS$_2$. Note worthly, the successful introduction of the highly active few-layered WS$_2$ has remarkably enhanced the NO$_2$-sensing properties of carbon materials at RT (Fig. 29b, R$_{5 ppm} = -48.2\%$), and excellent selectivity against interfering gases is also achieved. As indicated by the authors of this work, the value of responses to NO$_2$ at RT is not satisfied compared with those of the well-studied non-TMD materials, further improvements are warranted.

Due to the catalytic effects of metal ions in the process of pyrolysis, the resultant in-situ growth of CNTs forms the porous metal-CNT composites. Consequently, in this study, the CoZn-N doped CNT composites (CoZn-NCNTs) were synthesized via the controlled calcination of CoZn-ZIF (isostructural to ZIF-8/ZIF-67) precursors (Fig. 29c–h) [226]. As a result, the CoZn-NCNTs particles possessed a porous polyhedral morphology with rich interconnecting CNTs on the surface showing outstanding sensitivity ($R_{100 ppm} = 53\%$) and fast speed (response/recovery time: 1.3/0.53 min) towards SO$_2$ at room temperature.

4. Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) for field-effect transistor (FET) gas sensors

Compared with conventional sensors composed of simply two electrodes, the FET-type gas sensor can control the applied voltage on the gate electrode, finally enabling the easy amplification and fine-tuning of detected electrical signals. Therefore, it can be used as a promising electrically-transduced gas sensor for high performance detection. In addition, FET devices is capable of microminiaturization, as a result, they can be easily integrated with other electrical devices. However, advanced techniques are required to integrate materials into FET device, such as lithography, precise control over material morphology and interfaces with insulating layers.

Up to now, only a few reports involve the study of MOF-based FETs. Similar to chemiresistors, the conductivity requirement of active materials of FET devices also represents an obstacle for most reported MOFs, which is because of their poor conductive nature. Consequently, the insulating MOFs are generally used as the auxiliary materials to active materials in FET devices, which have the functions of pre-concentration, dielectric layer modification, and/or catalysts [228–231]. Most of these FET sensors work either in liquid phase or based on the Kelvin probe technique. Just recently, S. Yuvaraja et al. prepared the MOF/polymer bi-layer OFET gas sensors through coating the porphyrin MOF (an additive for gas pre-concentration) onto the active polymer layer (Fig. 30a) [232]. Moreover, the [Ni(TPyP)(TiF$_6$)$_n$] MOF particles (TPyP, 5,10,15,20-tetra(4-pyridyl)porphyrin) with good NO$_2$ selectivity were drop-casted onto the sensitive channel layer prepared by the diketopyrrolopyrrole (DPP) copolymer with triphenone donor blocks (PDVT-10), so as to form the bi-layer OFET. As discovered, the prepared [Ni(TPyP)(TiF$_6$)$_n$/PDVT-10 FET sensor showed sensitive transfer characteristics upon exposure to NO$_2$ with concentrations ranging from 0 to 50 ppm (Fig. 30b). The output characteristics exhibited a non-saturated regime of drain current for all biases, when the device was exposed to NO$_2$ at a concentration of 20 ppm or higher (Fig. 30c). This was attributed to the excess charge carriers caused by high concentration of analytes in the channel region. As shown in Fig. 30d, the threshold voltage decreased nearly 3 orders of magnitude when NO$_2$ concentration increased from 0 to 100 ppm, while the charge carrier mobility increased linearly with the increasing NO$_2$ gas concentration. The sensor also showed good response-recovery properties towards NO$_2$ when the gas concentration was lower than 5 ppm (Fig. 30e).

Alternatively, conductive MOFs possessing regularly distributed pore size, tunable structure and good electrical properties are extremely desirable as the promising active materials for porous-FET, which may promote the new developments in the field of FET gas sensing. The porous FET, in which the EC-MOF thin film [233–235] or proton conductive Cu-BTC [236] is used as the active material, has been successfully obtained, yet it remains challenging to fabricate the high performance FET gas sensor. V. Rubio-Giménez et al. attempted to introduce Cu$_3$HHTP$_2$, an EC-MOF with excellent RT chemiresistive gas sensing performance, into the FET devices via a bottom-up approach [237]. The channel current of the as-transferred films increased with the decrease in $V_g$ ($p$-type transport), and changed at different atmospheres, indicating that it might be applied in gas detection. However, Cu$_3$HHTP$_2$ FET showed small modulation of source–drain current with back gate...
voltage, which might be due to the poor contact between the MOF thin film and the octadecyltrichlorosilane (OTS) functionalized layer.

5. MOFs and their derivatives for chemical diodes type gas sensors

As discussed in Section 2, chemical diode, a key resistance-dominated element (Fig. 13a), plays a role as a p-n junction (Fig. 6) or metal–semiconductor Schottky junction (Figs. 7 and 8). The height of potential or Schottky barrier ($U_B$) can be modulated either by changing the energy levels of p/n components or by altering the work functions of metal electrode and the electron affinity of semiconductor, respectively.

5.1. MOFs for chemical diodes type gas sensors

For the p-n junction-based chemical diodes, the semiconductive MOF can be directly applied as the active materials. TCNQ (7,7,8,8-tetracyanoquinodimethane), a redox-active ligand first prepared by Dupont researchers in the 1960s [238], can serve as a tetradentate or bidentate bridging ligand to balance the positive charge of center metal and to form the new EC-MOFs [239–241]. In view of this, H. Liu et al. fabricated the CuTCNQ/ZnO p-n junction arrays, in which n-type ZnO nanorods (NRs) were used as the backbones, whereas p-type CuTCNQ NRs as the branches (Fig. 31a–e) [137]. The CuTCNQ/ZnO p-n junction arrays displayed excellent diode nature (Fig. 31f). Besides, the corresponding CuTCNQ/ZnO NT diode-type humidity sensor showed good sensitivity (changes in rectification ratios were used in this work, experimental detection limit, 5 RH%) and quick response properties ($t_{res,80\%} = 1\ min$) at RT (Fig. 31g). Noteworthily, the channels in the CuTCNQ frameworks were too small to show a detectable porosity. Thus, the combination of EC-MOFs with permanent porosity with the inorganic semiconductor may shed more lights on such type of chemical diodes for gas detection.

Fig. 31. (a) SEM images of CuTCNQ/ZnO p-n junction arrays (a) top view, inset: the magnified top view; (b) the corresponding cross-section view; (c) TEM image of an individual CuTCNQ/ZnO nanowire; (d and e) HRTEM images of the interface region between CuTCNQ NR and ZnO NR marked in part c; I–V characteristics of (f) different samples of CuTCNQ/ZnO arrays at room temperature (Inset: the schematic illustration of the ITO/CuTCNQ/ZnO p-n junction arrays (Cu device) and (g) sample B measured at 25 °C exposed to different RH. Reproduced with permission [137]. Copyright 2013, American Chemical Society. (h) The energy band structure of Ag/n-Si and Ag/ECMOF/n-Si; (i) Different Schottky diodes device structures with various photoelectric performance; (j) various Schottky barriers height in different diodes and (k) response-recovery curves of Ag(Cu$_3$(C$_{18}$H$_{32}$N)$_6$)$_2$/n-Si/Al toward 25–100 ppm NH$_3$. Reproduced with permission [133]. Copyright 2020, The Royal Society of Chemistry.
can be attributed to the Fermi pinning effect [242–243]. Consequently, several materials, including graphene, MOFs, MoS2, and P2HT, have been applied as the interlayer materials between metal and semiconductor to increase $\Phi_B$ [244–247], thus improving the diode performances. From the perspective of gas detection, the high porosity and tunable electronic structures of MOFs have rendered them as the promising interlayer materials to optimize the performances of Schottky junction-based gas sensors. For instance,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>MOF</th>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Conc.</th>
<th>$R$</th>
<th>$t_{on}/min$</th>
<th>$t_{off}/min$</th>
<th>LOD/ppm</th>
<th>TJC</th>
<th>BET/m² g⁻¹</th>
<th>Refs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Co₃O₄ 300</td>
<td>ZIF-67</td>
<td>ethanol</td>
<td>200 ppm</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.17</td>
<td>&lt;0.17</td>
<td>10¹</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>120.9</td>
<td>[211]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co₂O₃ nanocages</td>
<td>ZIF-67</td>
<td>ethanol</td>
<td>5 ppm</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>[212]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co₃O₄ nanocages</td>
<td>ZIF-67</td>
<td>p-xylene</td>
<td>5 ppm</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>5¹</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>[212]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoO₃ polyhedra</td>
<td>ZIF-67</td>
<td>n-butanol</td>
<td>100 ppm</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>&lt;5¹</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>[267]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co₃O₄ core–shell</td>
<td>ZIF-67</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>200 ppm</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>10¹</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>[268]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co₂O₃ spheres-350</td>
<td>Co₂[μ3-OH]₂(1,4-ndc)₄(βx)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In₂O₃ Hollow Nanorod</td>
<td>CPP-3</td>
<td>ethanol</td>
<td>100 ppm</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>5¹</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>[270]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₂O/CdS cages</td>
<td>HKUST-1</td>
<td>ethanol</td>
<td>200 ppm</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150.3</td>
<td>[213]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co₂Zn-NCNTPs</td>
<td>CoZn-ZIF</td>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>100 ppm</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.5¹</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>446.35</td>
<td>[220]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO-Co₃O₄ polyhedrons</td>
<td>CoZn-ZIF</td>
<td>ethanol</td>
<td>100 ppm</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>1¹</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>53.62</td>
<td>[217]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO-Co₃O₄ polyhedrons</td>
<td>ZIF8/ZIF-67</td>
<td>triethylamine</td>
<td>50 ppm</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.013¹</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>[220]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In₂O₃ Hollow Nanorod</td>
<td>Cu₂O/CdS cages</td>
<td>HKUST-1</td>
<td>ethanol</td>
<td>200 ppm</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₂O/CdS cages</td>
<td>HKUST-1</td>
<td>CoZn-ZIF</td>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>100 ppm</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.5¹</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>446.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO-Co₃O₄ polyhedrons</td>
<td>CoZn-ZIF</td>
<td>ethanol</td>
<td>100 ppm</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>1¹</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>53.62</td>
<td>[217]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO-Co₃O₄ polyhedrons</td>
<td>ZIF8/ZIF-67</td>
<td>triethylamine</td>
<td>50 ppm</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.013¹</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>[220]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

irrev. is irreversible.

### Table 7

Gas-sensing properties toward different gases of various MOF and MOF derived materials based chemiresistive gas sensors. (1. Experimental LOD, 2. IUPAC method, 3. 3RMS with simulated equation, 4. 10% response).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Sensor type</th>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Conc.</th>
<th>$t_{on}/min$</th>
<th>$t_{off}/min$</th>
<th>LOD/ppm</th>
<th>TJC</th>
<th>BET/m² g⁻¹</th>
<th>Refs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Ni(TyP)(TyC)]₀/PDVT-10</td>
<td>FET</td>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>0.025–50 ppm</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>991</td>
<td>[232]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₂H₂TPP₂</td>
<td>FET</td>
<td>air saturated</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>[237]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO[CuTCNQ]</td>
<td>diodes</td>
<td>RH</td>
<td>5–78 RH%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5 RH¹</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>[137]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag/Ni₂H₂TPP₂/n-Si/Al</td>
<td>diodes</td>
<td>NH₃</td>
<td>25–100 ppm</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>25¹</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>[133]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-BTC</td>
<td>electrochemical</td>
<td>methanol</td>
<td>0–35 vol%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>[139]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₄P₂PHIDIC₄</td>
<td>electrochemical</td>
<td>ethanol</td>
<td>0–18 vol%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>[139]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Na(Cd[MIDC])₂]₀</td>
<td>electrochemical</td>
<td>NH₃</td>
<td>0.05–30 ppm</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>0.05¹</td>
<td>68 RH¹</td>
<td>RT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ba₂–O-CbPhH₂IDC(H₂O)₄]₀</td>
<td>electrochemical</td>
<td>NH₃</td>
<td>1–25 ppm</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>1¹</td>
<td>98 RH⁴</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Cu₄HDMPhIDC₄(H₂O)₄]₀</td>
<td>electrochemical</td>
<td>NH₃</td>
<td>10–60 ppm</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>10¹</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>98 RH¹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 8

Gas-sensing properties toward different gases of various MOF and MOF derived materials based chemiresistive gas sensors. (1. Experimental LOD, 2. IUPAC method, 3. 3RMS with simulated equation, 4. 10% response).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Conc.</th>
<th>$R$</th>
<th>$t_{on}/min$</th>
<th>$t_{off}/min$</th>
<th>LOD/ppm</th>
<th>TJC</th>
<th>BET/m² g⁻¹</th>
<th>Refs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu₃BDC/H₂O₆</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>500 ppm</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>125¹</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>[256]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fum-fcu-MOF</td>
<td>H₂S</td>
<td>100 ppm</td>
<td>-0.45%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>0.0054⁴</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>[56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₂-BTC (HKUST-1)</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>250 ppm</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>61.99⁴</td>
<td>RT, 10RH¹</td>
<td>1263</td>
<td>[258]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isopropanol</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>71.05⁴</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>methanol</td>
<td>48.6%</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>77.8⁴</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₂-BTC (HKUST-1)</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>100.18¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₂-BTC (HKUST-1)</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>250 ppm</td>
<td>-5%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>130⁴</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>704.5</td>
<td>[257]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFM-300 (In)</td>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>1 ppm</td>
<td>0.0016%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>0.005⁴</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>[259]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag₂O@UOO-06(2Zr)–NO₂</td>
<td>H₂S</td>
<td>100 ppm</td>
<td>-90%</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>Irrev.</td>
<td>1¹</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>359.2</td>
<td>[260]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₂-BTC (HKUST-1)</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>250 ppm</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>149⁴</td>
<td>RT, 10RH¹</td>
<td>1263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-96 (Al)</td>
<td>methanol</td>
<td>5000 ppm</td>
<td>-5%</td>
<td>10–15</td>
<td>10–15</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>[263]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOF-74 (Mg)</td>
<td>benzene</td>
<td>100 ppm</td>
<td>-0.15%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>2¹</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>[57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₂-MIL-53(Al)/Matrimid polyimide</td>
<td>methanol</td>
<td>5000 ppm</td>
<td>-2.5%</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>[261]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDC-Y-fcu-MOF</td>
<td>NH₃</td>
<td>100 ppm</td>
<td>0.0025%</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>0.092⁴</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>[262]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cao et al. prepared thin film EC-MOFs with high quality by the LbL spraying method, which were then applied as the effective inter-layer materials to modulate the $U_B$ of the obtained Ag/EC-MOF/n-Si (Fig. 31h) [133]. The $I$–$V$ curves of these diodes showed typical rectifying characteristics of Schottky junctions (Fig. 13b). Using the thermionic emission theory model [248], the $U_B$ values of different Ag/EC-MOF-20 nm/n-Si were calculated, which were remarkably higher than those of Ag/n-Si junction diodes (Fig. 31 j). Notably, Schottky junction-based diodes can be directly used as the self-powered devices. Among the diverse Ag/EC-MOF-20 nm/n-Si sensors, Ag/Cu$_3$HITP$_2$/n-Si/Al achieved the best self-powered sensing performance. When the gas diffusion facilitated window-like Ag thin film (serve as the electrodes) was used together with the highly oriented porous structure of Cu$_3$HITP$_2$ layer, the Ag/Cu$_3$HITP$_2$/n-Si/Al sensor showed a response of 13.5% to 100 ppm NH$_3$, with the response & recovery time of 2.4 and 7.5 min, respectively (Fig. 31 k, under a 450 nm light, no bias voltage).

MOFs derived materials with p-n junctions or Schottky junctions commonly exist as the basic building units dispersed in the entire sensing films/pellets of chemiresistors or electrochemical gas sensors. To the best of our knowledge, there is no study reporting the direct application of MOFs derived materials as the key components for chemical diodes type gas sensors (see Tables 6–8).

### 6. MOFs and their derivatives for electrochemical gas sensors

In 2009, S. Achmann et al. employed Fe-BTC, a proton conductive MOF that contained the same organic ligand with the famous HKUST-1, as an active material for the electrochemical gas sensors under 60 RH% at 120 °C (the inset of Fig. 32a). In addition, the impedimetric sensor signal (the absolute value $|Z|$) of a thick film Fe-BTC sensor at 1 Hz showed significant changes upon exposure to methanol or ethanol, and satisfactory response/recovery speed were acquired (Fig. 32a and b).

Since then, many proton conductive MOFs have been introduced into this area [139,249–253]. For example, G Li et al. prepared a proton conductive 2D MOF, namely, [Cu(p-IPhHIDC)$_n$]$_{p}$ (p-IPhH3IDC = 2-((p-N-imidazol-1-yl)-phenyl-1H-imidazole-4,5-dicarboxylic acid, 1.51 × 10$^{-3}$ S cm$^{-1}$ at 120 °C and under 98 RH%), and subsequently applied it as an impedance type electrochemical gas sensor at RT and under 68–98 RH% (Fig. 32 c) [139]. Notably, the abundant inter-layer uncoordinated carboxylate groups facilitated the excellent proton conductivity. Further, the corresponding sensor showed good sensitivity ($R_{130}$ ppm = 8620%) and noticeable detection limit of 2 ppm towards NH$_3$ gas at RT and under 68% RH. Unlike log–log linearity of typical chemiresistors, the impedance type electrochemical gas sensor exhibited good linearity of raw plots of responses vs. concentrations toward NH$_3$ gas at different RH levels at 25 °C. The differences observed can be explained by the sensing mechanism of the impedance type electrochemical gas sensor. Briefly, NH$_3$ molecules can not only interact with the coordinating H$_2$O and carboxylate groups in MOF to generate the hydrogen-bonding nets, but also can react with the exotic water molecules to constitute NH$_4^+$ cations. All these factors have affected the hydrogen-bonding nets, but also can react with the exotic water molecules to constitute NH$_4^+$ cations. The differences observed can be explained by the sensing mechanism of the impedance type electrochemical gas sensor. Briefly, NH$_3$ molecules can not only interact with the coordinating H$_2$O and carboxylate groups in MOF to generate the hydrogen-bonding nets, but also can react with the exotic water molecules to constitute NH$_4^+$ cations. All these factors have affected the proton conductivity, as directly observed from the remarkably reduced value from 1.79 eV (68 RH%)/0.25 eV (98 RH%) to 0.50 eV (68 RH% containing 25 ppm NH$_3$ gas)/0.18 eV (98 RH% containing 25 ppm NH$_3$ gas).

To date, the electrochemical sensors based on MOF derived materials have been limited to molecule detection in liquid phase [252–255].

Fig. 32. Impedimetric sensor signal ($|Z|$) of a thick film Fe-BTC sensor at 1 Hz for (a) 0–35 vol% methanol in the test gas (the inset, top view of MOF paste screen-printed on IDEs to form thick film sensors), and (b) 0–18 vol% ethanol in the test gas. Measurement temperature: $T = 120$ °C. Reproduced with permission [138]. Copyright 2008, Sabine Achmann. (c) Impedance spectra for MOF 1 based sensor at 25 °C, 68% RH, with 2–130 ppm NH$_3$ gas; (d) linear plots of responses vs. concentrations toward NH$_3$ gas at different RH levels at 25 °C. Reproduced with permission [139]. Copyright 2018, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.
7. MOFs and their derivatives for capacitive type gas sensors

Advantageously, the pre-concentration and selective adsorption of the analytes of porous MOFs offer great potentials as the active materials of capacitive type gas sensors, also known as chemi-capacitors. Unlike chemiresistors, chemi-capacitors require the insulating nature of active materials, which is well matched with a majority of reported MOFs.

In 2015, H. Omran confirmed the potential application of MOFs based chemi-capacitors using the CuBDC(H$_2$O)$_x$ (BDC = benzene-1,4-dicarboxylic acid) [256]. Thereafter, researchers have successfully developed various sensitive MOFs to detect the typically toxic/harmful gases at RT, besides, they have been integrated into small chips in the form of high quality thin films [56,57,257–262]. By the in situ growth of rare-earth metal (RE) and fumarate-based MOF thin films with fcu topology (fum-fcu-MOF) on the IDEs chip, O. Yassine et al. fabricated the high-performance capacitive gas sensor, which displayed an outstanding detection sensitivity to H$_2$S at concentrations down to 100 ppb, which exhibited the detection limit of about 5 ppb at RT (Fig. 33a) [56]. Compared with low sensitivity and poor long-term stability of CuBDC(H$_2$O)$_x$ and ZIF-8 due to the possible formation of metal sulfides, the RE hexanuclear cluster bridged by fum-fcu-MOF, the shorter and more rigid linker, prohibited the formation of metal sulfide. In addition, the fum-fcu-MOF sensor also exhibited good selectivity towards H$_2$S vs. CH$_4$, NO$_2$, H$_2$, and C$_7$H$_8$. They also found that, when the active material was changed to MFM-300 (In), the obtained sensor showed good sensitivity toward SO$_2$ with a low detection limit of...
case of MOF-polymer composite films, the NH$_2$-MIL-53(Al) functionalized Mg-MOF-74 films showed decreased sensitivity to benzene at RT, with a significant signal-to-noise ratio to low concentrations down to 2 ppm. In addition, the ethylenediamine-functionalyzed Mg-MOF-74 films showed decreased sensitivity to benzene whereas increased sensitivity to CO$_2$, which demonstrated a guest occupying method to modulate the sensing performances of MOFs sensors.

Besides, MOFs based capacitive gas sensors sensitive to other VOCs, such as acetone, toluene, methanol, and ethanol, are also explored [261,263]. For instance, based on the high sorption data of MIL-96(Al) towards water and methanol, M. A. Andres et al. prepared the corresponding sensor on IDE chips based on ordered LB monolayer films constructed by the 200 nm particles (the inset of Fig. 33e) [263]. As expected, the MIL-96(Al) LB films showed high sensitivity towards water and methanol (Fig. 33e). For the case of MOF-polymer composite films, the NH$_2$-MIL-53(Al) nanoparticles were dispersed within the Matrimid polyimide, which formed a thin film on surface of capacitive sensor chips with planar electrodes (Fig. 33f and g) [261]. As clearly observed from the sensing results, the MOF-polymer had enhanced response relative to those of bare samples, which might be ascribed to the additional changes in local polarity of the composite films brought by MOFs.

8. Conclusions and outlook

According to the above research, despite a late start, great strides have been made in MOFs and MOF derived materials based electrically-transduced gas sensors recently, which depends on the matching of device requirements and materials properties. The major obstacles for specific applications are identified based on extensive studies, and some potential solutions are also proposed to overcome these issues. Consequently, excellent proof-of-concept examples have been successfully developed for MOFs and MOF derived materials based chemiresistive, chemical diodes, impedimetric electrochemical, and chemicapacitive gas sensors. Across these different types of electrically-transduced gas sensors, we summarize unifying characteristics among MOFs and MOF derived materials discussed in this work as follows:

(1) Porosity. The ultrahigh surface areas and tunable channel properties of MOFs are realized through controlling the metal/ligand arrangements, functionalization and structural parameters (including distances, angles, and molecular conformations). Moreover, gas diffusion and adsorption are the key steps in the development of novel MOF-based gas sensors, therefore, it is essential that these frameworks should possess properties of diffusion facilitating porosity, selective adsorption or rejection channels, and highly accessible surface areas. Typically, the MOF-derived materials can partially maintain the as-mentioned porosity of MOF precursors.

(2) Conductivity. Given the distinct conducting mechanisms, EC-MOFs are mainly applied as the active materials for chemiresistive, FET and chemical diode gas sensors, whereas IC/PC-MOFs (ionic/protonic conductivity) are frequently used for impedimetric electrochemical and chemicapacitive gas sensors. Thanks to the rapid developments of conductive MOFs on mixed valence motifs and charge transport mechanisms, the 2D π-conjugated EC-MOFs have exhibited promising sensing performances at RT. MOF-derived materials normally exhibit good electrical conductivity, and thus there is no limitation regarding their conductivity.

(3) Permittivity (dielectric constant). For FET and chemicapacitive gas sensors, the changes in permittivity (dielectric constant) induced by material-analyte interactions can be directly transformed to the readable electrical signals. At present, a few successful chemicapacitive gas sensors have been reported so far after screening various MOFs.

(4) Thermal/photo-catalytic effects. Using the thermal/photo-catalytic metal/ligands motifs or hybridizing with secondary catalysts, MOFs or MOF-derived materials have significantly improved the sensing performances, in particular, they possess both high surface areas and regular channels.

However, there are still many worthwhile directions to be pursued in terms of material design and device integration:

(1) How to precisely control the gas diffusion and adsorption in the MOFs or MOF-on-MOFs. The sensing performances can be modulated by taking advantages of the flexibility, intermediate phase and glassy states of MOFs, together with the functionalized interfaces of MOFs and MOF based composites.

(2) How to develop novel stable conductive MOFs or MOF-based composites with permanent porosity. The good balance between conductivity and sensing activity (redox activity and porosity) represents a key point for their applications in electrically-transduced gas sensors.

(3) How to feasibly fabricate the thin film MOFs and well control their interfaces with the secondary hybrid materials, electrodes, and substrates. It is essential that, high performance gas sensor devices should possess cascading functions, including good sensitivity, excellent selectivity and fast speed.

(4) How to combine in-situ characterizations with computational simulations to reveal the exact sensing mechanisms of MOFs based electrical gas sensors.

(5) How to use data mining technology to screen the existing MOFs and MOF-derived materials or to predict the potential MOFs as the active materials of specific gas sensors.

The aforementioned challenges are the imperative tasks to be solved for the practical gas sensing applications of MOFs and MOF-derived materials. Future works along or beyond these lines will undoubtedly provide new fundamental understandings and practical application experiences concerning MOFs and MOF-derived materials for the electrically-transduced gas sensors.
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